2018-2019

G.E.SOCIETY'S S.M.R.K. ARTS, FINE ARTS - B.K. COMMERCE - A.K. HOME SCIENCE MAHILA MAHAVIDYALAYA, NASHIK- 422 005

Document No. 53 SMRK - BK - AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./ 12

Analysis of past students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching.

4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average

Question No.	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average	Overall Rating	
1	4	1	1 4	4	4				100 F 2
2	4	3		3	15				
3	3	-	1	3	1				
4	4	-	-	/	5				
5	4	4	1	5	4				
- 6	4	4	4	-	5				
7	3	3	1		5		2.0	2 - Ex	1601100
8	4	5	4		5		3.0	Z P	cellen
9	1	4	15	15	5				
10	4	4		5	3				
11	3	4	1	5	5				
12	4	4	5	5	5				
13	3	4	5	5	4				
14	4	4	5	5	4				
15	3	3	3	3	4				
16	4	4	3	5	4				
17	24	4	100	4	3				
18	4	4	4	4	5				

69 69 69 59 71 276

3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.9 = 19.1 = 3.82

NASHIK

SMRK-BK-AK Mahila Mahavidyalaya Nasik-422 005.

Q.C.C. Incherege

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts – B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik – 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK –AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Sociology Course: TYBA

Teacher : Fer A11 Year : 2018 - 2019

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a, more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

d. indifferently

1

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? a. Always effective

b. sometimes effective

c. Just satisfactorily

b. generally ineffective

9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class?

a. mostly yes

b. sometimes

c. not at all

d. always

10 If yes, Which of the following methods were used?

a. Encouraged to raise questions b. get involved in discussion in class

c. encourage discussion outside class d. did not encourage

11. How helpful was the teacher in advising?

a. Very helpful

b. sometimes helpful

c. not at all helpful

d. did not advise

12. The teacher's approach can best be described as

a. Always courteous

b. some times rude

c. Always unfair

d. cannot say

13. Internal assessment was

a. Always fair

b. sometimes unfair

c. Usually unfair

d. sometimes fair

14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?

a. Helps to improve

b. discouraging

c. no special effect

d. sometimes effective

15 How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?

a. Regularly / in time

b. with helpful comment

c. often / late

d. without any comments

16 Were your assignments discussed with you?

a. Yes, fully

b. yes, partly

c. not discussed at all

d. sometimes discussed

17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?

a. Yes

b. no

If yes, was it helpful?

a. Yes

b. no

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

Good lectures

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts – B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik – 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK –AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Socialogy

Course: T. Y. B. A

Teacher

: All subject

Year :

2018 - 2019

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

va. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teacher ab a. Always effective c. Just satisfactorily	b. sometimes effective b. generally ineffective
9. How far the teacher encoura a. mostly yes c. not at all	ges student participation in class ? b. sometimes d. always
10 If yes, Which of the following. Encouraged to raise question c. encourage discussion outside	s b. get involved in discussion in class
11. How helpful was the teacher a. Very helpful c. not at all helpful	b. sometimes helpful d. did not advise
12. The teacher's approach can a. Always courteous c. Always unfair	best be described as b. some times rude d. cannot say
13. Internal assessment was a Always fair c. Usually unfair	b. sometimes unfair d. sometimes fair
14. What effect do you think the affelps to improve c. no special effect	b. discouraging d. sometimes effective
15 How often did the teacher pa. Regularly / in timec. often / late	rovide feedback on your performance? b. with helpful comment d. without any comments
16 Were your assignments discu a. Yes, fully c. not discussed at all	b. yes, partly d. sometimes discussed
17. Were you provided with a coa. Yes	ourse contributory lecture too at the beginning? b. no
If yes, was it helpful?	b. no
you may do so in the space	to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher given below or on a separate sheet.

Tiwaci Haeshela Arun

Gokhale Education Society's S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No.52

SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Socialogy Course: M.A

: All subject's Year : 2018 - 2019

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a, more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teacher a	able to communicate?
~a. Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
9. How far the teacher encour	ages student participation in class?
a. mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
	a. a. may s
10 If yes, Which of the follow	ing methods were used?
a Encouraged to raise question	ns b. get involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion outside	le class d. did not encourage
	ar ara not oncourage
11. How helpful was the teach	er in advising?
a Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
o. not at an norptut	d. did not advise
12. The teacher's approach car	hest he described as
a. Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	
c. Aiways unian	d. cannot say
13. Internal assessment was	
a Always fair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
c. Osuany uman	d. sometimes fair
14. What effect do you think the	ne internal assessment will have on your course grade?
a. Helps to improve	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
or no special effect	a. sometimes effective
15 How often did the teacher	provide feedback on your performance?
a. Regularly / in time	with helpful comment
c. often / late	d. without any comments
	d. Without any comments
16 Were your assignments disc	ussed with you?
Yes , fully	b. yes, partly
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
or not disoussed at all	d. Sometimes discussed
17. Were you provided with a c	course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
\a_Yes	b. no
0.100	0. 110
If yes, was it helpful?	
a Yes	b. no
. 103	b. 110
18. If you have other comment	s to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher
you may do so in the space	given below or on a separate sheet.
Jan Space	Sold of the a separate sheet.

Gokhale Education Society's S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts – B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik – 5. Document No.52 SMRK-BK –AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

(10 be filled only after the	course results are declared)
Department: Comme	erce Course: Commerce
Teacher : All Teach	chers Year: 2018-2019
Your responses will be seen recorded.	only after your course results have been finalized and
The information will be used	d for the improvement of the course and teaching in future
You need not disclose your	name if you do not wish to.
You may tick more than o	one answer to a question to the extent that they do not
contradict each other.	1
 The syllabus of each 	course was
a. adequate	b. inadequate
c. challenging	d.dull
Background for benef	iting from the course was
a. more than adequate	b. adequate
c. inadequate	d. cannot say
3. Was the course easy o	r difficult to understand?
, a. easy	b. manageable
c. difficult	d. very difficult
4. How much of the sylla	bus was covered in the class?
85 to 100%	b.70 to 85%
c. 55 to 70%	d. less than 55%
5. What is your oninion a	bout the library material and facilities for the course?
a more than adequate	b. adequate
c. inadequate	d. very poor
	a. very poor
6. To What extent were y	ou able to get material for the prescribed readings?
a. Easily	b. with some difficulty
c. not available at all	d. with great difficulty
7 How well 4:44	6 1 1 2
thorough	er prepare for the classes?
a thoroughly	b. satisfactorily

How well was the teacher a	ble to communicate?
a. Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
	200 200
9. How far the teacher encoura	ages student participation in class?
a. mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
10 If Will 1 Cd Cd	A STATE OF THE STA
10 If yes, Which of the follow	ing methods were used?
a. Encouraged to raise question	ns b. get involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion outside	e class d. did not encourage
11 How belong to the	
11. How helpful was the teache	
a. Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
12 The teach 1	
12. The teacher's approach car	
a. Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say
12 1	
13. Internal assessment was	
a. Always fair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
14 What affect days did to	
14. What effect do you think th	e internal assessment will have on your course grade?
a. Helps to improve	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
15 How often did the teacher	and the first than to an arrange of
a. Regularly / in time	provide feedback on your performance?
c. often / late	b. with helpful comment
C. often / late	d. without any comments
16 Were your assignments disc	useed with very?
a. Yes, fully	9. (8)
c. not discussed at all	b. yes, partly
C. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
17 Were you provided with a c	ource contributory locations to a state 1
a. Yes	ourse contributory lecture too at the beginning? b. no
4. 103	в. по
If yes, was it helpful?	
a, Yes	T =
a les	b. no
18 If you have other comments	4
You may do so in the season	s to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher
	given below or on a separate sheet.
The Paral and	Laboration I ample and of T
the leachers	were well prepared &
1:10-1 11-	were well prepared & I
uked the	un 2 culum
	No. of the Control of

Rekha Rojput

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: BMS

Course: BMS

Teacher

: All Peachere Year : 20/8-20/9

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teacher	able to communicate?
a. Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
9. How far the teacher encou	rages student participation in class?
a. mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
10 If yes, Which of the follow	ving methods were used?
 a. Encouraged to raise question 	ons beget involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion outsi	de class d. did not encourage
11. How helpful was the teach	er in advising?
A. Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
12. The teacher's approach ca	
a. Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say
13. Internal assessment was	
_a. Atways fair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
14. What effect do you think t	the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
a. Helps to improve	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
15 How often did the teacher	provide feedback on your performance?
a. Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment
c. often / late	d. without any comments
16 Were your assignments dis	cussed with you?
a. Yes, fully	b. yes, partly
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
17. Were you provided with a	course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
a. Yes	b. no
If yes, was it helpful?	
a. Yes	b. no
10 16 1	
you may do so in the space	ts to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher given below or on a separate sheet.
The portion was	
()	

2019 - 2020

G.E.SOCIETY'S S.M.R.K. ARTS, FINE ARTS - B.K. COMMERCE - A.K. HOME SCIENCE MAHILA MAHAVIDYALAYA, NASHIK- 422 005

Document No. 53 SMRK - BK - AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./ 12

Analysis of past students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching.

4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average

uestion No.	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average	Overall Rating
1	4	4	4	-4	4			o votati rating
2	4	4	_		4			
3	4	3	3	-	4			
4	4	4	4	4	1			
5	4	4	4	4	4			
6	4	4	4	4	4			
7	4	3	3	4	4			
8	4	4	4	4	4			-
9	4	4	4	4	5		3.92	Ex
10	4	4	4	4	4			EX
11	4	4	4	4	9			
12	4	4	4	n.	4			
13	4	4	4	_	4			
14	4	4	4		4			
15	4				4			
16	4	4		2011	4			
17	4		-	4	4			
18	4	4	4		4			

72 69 69 72 72 = 354 4.0 3.8 3.8 4.0 4.0 = 19.6

19.6 - 5 = 3.92

VASHIK 22 005

SMRK-BK-AK Mahila Mahavidyalaya Nasik-422 On-

Quanale Q.C.C. Incherge

Gokhale Education Society's S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts – B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik – 5. Document No.52

SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Mara H	Course: T.y.B.A. Marathi
Teacher: All Sys)	eer Year: 2019-2020
Your responses will be seen recorded.	only after your course results have been finalized and
The information will be used You need not disclose your	d for the improvement of the course and teaching in future name if you do not wish to.
You may tick more than o contradict each other.	ne answer to a question to the extent that they do not
1. The syllabus of each	course was
Ma. adequate	b. inadequate
c. challenging	d-dull
2. Background for benefit	iting from the course was
a. more than adequate	b. adequate
c. inadequate	d. cannot say
3. Was the course easy or	difficult to understand?
a. easy	· b. manageable
c. difficult	d. very difficult
4. How much of the sylla	bus was covered in the class?
a. 85 to 100%	b.70 to 85%
c. 55 to 70%	d. less than 55%
5. What is your opinion al	bout the library material and facilities for the course?
a. more than adequate	b. adequate
c. inadequate	d. very poor
6. To What extent were yo	ou able to get material for the prescribed readings?
a. Easily	b. with some difficulty
c. not available at all	d. with great difficulty
7. How well did the teacher	er prepare for the classes?
a. thoroughly	b. satisfactorily
c. poorly	d. indifferently

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? a. Always effective b. sometimes effective c. Just satisfactorily b. generally ineffective 9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a. mostly yes b. sometimes c. not at all d. always 10 If yes, Which of the following methods were used? a. Encouraged to raise questions b. get involved in discussion in class c. encourage discussion outside class d. did not encourage 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? a. Very helpful b. sometimes helpful c. not at all helpful d. did not advise 12. The teacher's approach can best be described as Always courteous b. some times rude c. Always unfair d. cannot say 13. Internal assessment was a. Always fair b. sometimes unfair c. Usually unfair d. sometimes fair 14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade? a. Helps to improve b. discouraging c. no special effect d. sometimes effective 15 How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? a. Regularly / in time b. with helpful comment c. often / late d. without any comments 16 Were your assignments discussed with you? a Yes, fully · b. yes, partly c. not discussed at all d. sometimes discussed 17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning? a Yes b. no

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

b. no

very Gand

If yes, was it helpful?

Gargurde Ashwins Bapy

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Hindi

Course: T.Y.B.A

Teacher

: All subject's year : 2013-2020

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

va. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

va. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a, more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? Always effective b. sometimes effective c. Just satisfactorily b. generally ineffective 9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a. mostly yes b. sometimes c. not at all d. always 10 If yes, Which of the following methods were used? a. Encouraged to raise questions b. get involved in discussion in class c. encourage discussion outside class d. did not encourage 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? va. Very helpful b. sometimes helpful c. not at all helpful d. did not advise 12. The teacher's approach can best be described as a. Always courteous b. some times rude c. Always unfair d. cannot say 13. Internal assessment was Na. Always fair b. sometimes unfair c. Usually unfair d. sometimes fair 14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade? va. Helps to improve b. discouraging c. no special effect d. sometimes effective 15 How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? a. Regularly / in time b. with helpful comment c. often / late d. without any comments 16 Were your assignments discussed with you? a. Yes fully b. yes, partly c. not discussed at all d. sometimes discussed 17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning? b. no If yes, was it helpful?

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

b. no

Very Good

100

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: MUSIC

Course: T. Y . B . A

Teacher

: All subjects Year : 2019 - 2020

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

va. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

(a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teac	her able to communicate?
a. Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
9. How far the teacher en	courages student participation in class?
a mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
	ar armay o
10 If yes. Which of the fo	llowing methods were used?
\a Encouraged to raise que	estions b. get involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion of	utside class d. did not encourage
g woodston o	atside class a, aid not encourage
11. How helpful was the te	eacher in advising?
a. Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
ar ar morprar	d. did not advise
12. The teacher's approach	h can best be described as
Ma. Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	
o. Always ullian	d. cannot say
13. Internal assessment v	V00
a. Always fair	
c. Usually unfair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Osuany uniair	d. sometimes fair
14 What effect do you thi	mlede : 4 - 1 : 11 t
a. Helps to improve	nk the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
c. no special effect	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
15 How often did the teac	har provide feedback on some C
a. Regularly / in time	her provide feedback on your performance?
c. often / late	b. with helpful comment
c. often / fate	d. without any comments
16 Were your assignments	4:1 141 0
16 Were your assignments a. Yes, fully	
c. not discussed at all	b. yes, partly
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
17 Ware way	
a Yes	h a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
OF I ES	b. no
If you was it but. C.10	
If yes, was it helpful?	ST conserve
Na Yes	b. no

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

Date Disher Chandan

Gokhale Education Society's S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No.52

SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Commerce Course: Commerce

Teacher : All Peacher Year : 2019 - 2020

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

aleasy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

La. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a, more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

6. How well was the teacher	able to communicate?
a. Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
0.77	
9. How far the teacher encou	rages student participation in class?
a. mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
	d. always
10 If yes, Which of the follow	uing moths de
A Encouraged to raise and	ving methods were used?
C appearaged to raise question	ons b. get involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion outsid	de class d. did not encourage
11. How helpful was the teach	er in advising?
a. Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
12. The teacher's approach car	n best be described as
a. Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
*	d. cannot say
13. Internal assessment was	
-a. Always fair	• O - No. 100 - 10
a. Handle C:	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
14 11/1-4 66	
14. What effect do you think th	ne internal assessment will have on your course grade?
	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
3.2.3	
15 How often did the teacher p	provide feedback on your performance?
a. Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment
c. often / late	d without and a
	d. without any comments
16 Were your assignments disc	
a. Yes, fully	
c. not discussed at all	b. yes, partly
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
17 W	
17. Were you provided with a co	ourse contributory lecture too at the beginning?
a. Yes	b. no
If yes, was it helpful?	
a. Yes	b. no
18. If you have other comments	to offer on the server
you may do so in the space of	to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher given below or on a separate sheet.
	or our out off off a separate chast
The teachers leto	The stead of a
Lla	Encourging.
RIE	re Very Encourging.

Gokhale Education Society's S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department:

BMS

Course: BMG

Teacher

: All Teachers Year : 2019-2020

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

	The second secon	
8. How well was the teach	ner able to communicate?	
a. Always effective	b. sometimes effective	
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective	
9. How far the teacher end	courages student participation in class?	
a. mostly yes	b. sometimes	
c. not at all	d. always	
	1, 381	
10 If yes, Which of the fo	llowing methods were used?	
a. Encouraged to raise que	estions beget involved in discussion in class	
c. encourage discussion o	utside class d. did not encourage	
11 ITem belocations the fe	and an in advising?	
11. How helpful was the to	b. sometimes helpful	
a. Very helpful	d. did not advise	
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise	
12. The teacher's approach	ch can best be described as	
a Always courteous	b. some times rude	
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say	
Service as a W	e ¹³	
13. Internal assessment		
a. Always fair	b. sometimes unfair	10
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair	
14. What effect do you th	ink the internal assessment will have on your course gr	ade?
a Helps to improve	b. discouraging	
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective	
	cher provide feedback on your performance?	
_a_Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment	
c. often / late	d. without any comments	
16 Were your assignment	ts discussed with you?	
a. Yes, fully	b. yes, partly	
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed	
	ith a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?	
Ca. Yes	b. no	
If yes, was it helpful?		
a. Yes	b. no	
a. IES	0.10	
	nments to offer on the course and suggestions for the te	eacher
	space given below or on a separate sheet.	

Samurta Roy

The postion was well

explained.

Q.C.C. 2020-2021

G.E.SOCIETY'S S.M.R.K. ARTS, FINE ARTS - B.K. COMMERCE - A.K. HOME SCIENCE MAHILA MAHAVIDYALAYA, NASHIK- 422 005

Document No. 53 SMRK - BK - AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./ 12

Analysis of past students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching.

4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average

Question No.	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average	Overall Rating
1	4	4	4	4	4			o terum reating
2	4	4	4	4	4			
3	3	3	3	4	4			
4	4	4	4		4			
5	4	4	4	4	4			-
6	4	4	4	4	3			
7	3	3	3	4	4			
8	4	4	4	4	4			
9	4	4		4	4		3.8	C 1-
10	4	4	4	4	4			Exce
11	4	4	4	4	4			
12	4	4	4	4	4			
13	5	4	4		4			
14	4		4	-	4			
15		_	_	4	3			
16		_		4	9			
17	4			4	4			
18	4	4	5	9	4			

69 71 69 92 71 = 352

3.8 3.9 3.8 4.0 39 = 15=5 19.4



Gokhale Education Society's S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts – B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik – 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK –AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: BVA, Course: BVW

Teacher : All Subject Year : 2020 - 21

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

Vb. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

va. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate?

a. Always effective

b. sometimes effective

c. Just satisfactorily

b. generally ineffective

9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class?

a. mostly yes

b. sometimes

c. not at all

d. always

10 If yes, Which of the following methods were used?

a. Encouraged to raise questions b. get involved in discussion in class

c. encourage discussion outside class d. did not encourage

11. How helpful was the teacher in advising?

a. Very helpful

b. sometimes helpful

c. not at all helpful

d. did not advise

12. The teacher's approach can best be described as

a. Always courteous

b. some times rude

c. Always unfair

d. cannot say

13. Internal assessment was

a. Always fair

b. sometimes unfair

c. Usually unfair

d. sometimes fair

14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?

a. Helps to improve

b. discouraging

c. no special effect

d. sometimes effective

15 How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?

a. Regularly / in time

b. with helpful comment

c. often / late

d. without any comments

16 Were your assignments discussed with you?

a. Yes, fully

b. yes, partly

c. not discussed at all

d. sometimes discussed

17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?

la. Yes

b. no

If yes, was it helpful?

a. Yes

b. no

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

Good

Mali vaishnani R.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Marculli

Course: T'Y'B'A

Teacher

: All subjects Year :

2020 -2021

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

Na. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare, for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

d. indifferently

Homal More

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? Always effective b. sometimes effective c. Just satisfactorily b. generally ineffective 9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? mostly yes b. sometimes c. not at all d. always 10 If yes, Which of the following methods were used? a Encouraged to raise questions b. get involved in discussion in class c. encourage discussion outside class d. did not encourage 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? Very helpful b. sometimes helpful c. not at all helpful d. did not advise 12. The teacher's approach can best be described as a. Always courteous b. some times rude c. Always unfair d. cannot say 13. Internal assessment was a. Always fair b. sometimes unfair c. Usually unfair d. sometimes fair 14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade? a. Helps to improve b. discouraging c. no special effect d. sometimes effective 15 How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? a. Regularly / in time ~b. with helpful comment c. often / late d. without any comments 16 Were your assignments discussed with you? va. Yes, fully b. yes, partly c. not discussed at all d. sometimes discussed 17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning? a. Yes If yes, was it helpful? b. no

2

you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

Excellent

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Hindi

Course: T. Y. B. A

Teacher

: All subject's Year : 2026 - 2021

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a, more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teac	her able to communicate?
a. Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
9 How far the teacher en	courages student participation in class?
a. mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
*	
10 If yes, Which of the fo	llowing methods were used?
a. Encouraged to raise que	estions b. get involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion of	utside class d. did not encourage
	ar and not encourage
11. How helpful was the te	eacher in advising?
La. Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
	at the hot day iso
12. The teacher's approach	h can best be described as
Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say
Contract of Contracting State	d. camot say
13. Internal assessment w	vas
a Always fair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
and an	d. sometimes fair
14 What effect do you thin	nk the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
a. Helps to improve	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
P	u. sometimes effective
15 How often did the teach	her provide feedback on your performance?
a. Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment
c. often / late	d. without any comments
	d. Without any comments
16 Were your assignments	discussed with you?
a. Yes, fully	b. yes, partly
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
and and and are are	a. sometimes discussed
17. Were you provided with	a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
al Yes	h no
Attitude:	b. no
If yes, was it helpful?	
a. Yes	b. no
/ Same	0. 110

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

Mishra Rupalik

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts – B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik – 5.

Document No.52

SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Commerce Course: Commerce

Teacher: All Teachers year: 2020-2021

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

_a.easv

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teach	ner able to communicate?	
a. Always effective	b. sometimes effective	
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective	
9. How far the teacher end	courages student participation in class?	
a-mostly yes	b. sometimes	
c. not at all	d. always	
10 If yes. Which of the fo	llowing methods were used?	
a Encouraged to raise que	estions b. get involved in discussion in class	
c. encourage discussion or	utside class d. did not encourage	
11. How helpful was the te	acher in advising?	
a. Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful	
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise	
12. The teacher's approach	n can best be described as	
a. Always courteous	b. some times rude	
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say	
13. Internal assessment v	vas	
a. Always fair	b. sometimes unfair	
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair	
14. What effect do you thi	nk the internal assessment will have on your co	ourse grade?
a. Helps to improve	b. discouraging	Branc.
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective	
15 How often did the teac	her provide feedback on your performance?	
a. Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment	
c. often / late	d. without any comments	
16 Were your assignments	discussed with you?	
a. Yes, fully	b. yes, partly	
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed	
17. Were you provided wit	h a course contributory lecture too at the begin	nning?
a. Yes	b. no	8.
If yes, was it helpful?		
a. Yes	b. no	
	2 g	表面
10 151	CC	
18. If you have other common you may do so in the s	ments to offer on the course and suggestions for pace given below or on a separate sheet.	or the teacher
	E D a populato ontoct	

The Curriculum was well Planned

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52

SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department:

BMS

Course:

Teacher

: All Teacherse Year : 2020 -2021

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

La. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teach	ner able to communicate?
a. Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
How far the teacher end	courages student participation in class?
a. mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
A STANDAR OF STANDARD	60
10 If yes, Which of the fol	lowing methods were used?
a Encouraged to raise que	stions b. get involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion ou	itside class d. did not encourage
11. How helpful was the te	
Avery helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
10 000	72 200 0 000
12. The teacher's approach	
a. Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say
12 7	
13. Internal assessment w	
a. Always fair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
14 What affect do	1.7. 1.7. 1.7. 1.7. 1.7. 1.7. 1.7. 1.7.
2 Hains to improve you thin	nk the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
a. Helps to improve c. no special effect	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
15 How often did the teach	ner provide feedback on your performance?
a. Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment
c. often / late	d. without any comments
	d. Without any comments
16 Were your assignments	discussed with you?
a. Yes , fully	b. yes, partly
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
	a. sometimes discussed
17. Were you provided with	a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
a. Yes	b. no
a o 0	
If yes, was it helpful?	
a-Yes	b. no
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
18. If you have other comm	nents to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher
you may do so in the sp	ace given below or on a separate sheet.
- The teacher was	15 - 1 · 0 - 11
THE POLICIE WAS	theraly Prepedly.
	S 0

Chaitrali Dhawale

G.E.SOCIETY'S

S.M.R.K. ARTS, FINE ARTS - B.K. COMMERCE - A.K. HOME SCIENCE MAHILA MAHAVIDYALAYA,

NASHIK- 422 005

2021-2022

Document No. 53 SMRK - BK - AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./ 12

Analysis of past students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching.

4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average

Question No.	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average	Overall Rating
1	4	4	4	4	4		Trerage	Overall Rating
2	4	4	4	4	4			
3	3	-	-	4	4			
4	4	4	4	6	4	7		
5	4	4	5	4	9			
- 6	4	4	4	4	4		9.885	Excellent
7	3	3	3	4	4		3 00	EXCEMENT
8	4	4	4	4	4			7
9	5	5	4	4	4			
10	4	4	4	5	4			
11	5	4	4	4	4			
12	4	4	4	4	4			
13	4	4	4	4	4			
14	4	4	4	4	4			
15	3	3	3	4	4			
16	4	4	4	4	4			
17	4	4	4	4	9			
18	5	4	4	4	4			

69 69 69 72 72 = 357 3.8 3.8 3.8 4.0 4.0 = 19.69

19.4:5 = 3.88

Principal SMRK-BK-AK Mahila Mahavidyaraya Nasik-422 005. Q.C.C. Incherge

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts – B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik – 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK –AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department:	Holi	Course:	Holi	T.Y.B.A

Teacher: All Superts Year: 2021-2022

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

La. adequate b. inadequate

c. challenging d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

c. inadequate b. adequate c. inadequate d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy b. manageable

c. difficult d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100% b.70 to 85% c. 55 to 70% d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a, more than adequate
c. inadequate
d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

b. with some difficulty c. not available at all d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly
c. poorly
d. indifferently

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? a. Always effective b. sometimes effective c. Just satisfactorily b. generally ineffective 9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a. mostly yes b. sometimes c. not at all d. always 10 If yes, Which of the following methods were used? a. Encouraged to raise questions b. get involved in discussion in class c. encourage discussion outside class d. did not encourage 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? a. Very helpful b. sometimes helpful c. not at all helpful d. did not advise 12. The teacher's approach can best be described as a. Always courteous b. some times rude c. Always unfair d. cannot say 13. Internal assessment was a. Always fair b. sometimes unfair c. Usually unfair d. sometimes fair 14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade? a. Helps to improve b. discouraging c. no special effect d. sometimes effective 15 How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? a. Regularly / in time b. with helpful comment c. often / late d. without any comments 16 Were your assignments discussed with you? a. Yes, fully b. yes, partly c. not discussed at all d. sometimes discussed 17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning? b. no

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

b. no

Good lectures

If yes, was it helpful?

a Yes

Neware Selas Therendry

Gokhale Education Society's S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Marathi Course: T.Y.B.A

Teacher

: All Subjects Year :

2021-2022

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

The syllabus of each course was

Na. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. casy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a, more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? a. Always effective b. sometimes effective c. Just satisfactorily b. generally ineffective 9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a. mostly yes b. sometimes c. not at all d. always 10 If yes, Which of the following methods were used? a Encouraged to raise questions b. get involved in discussion in class c. encourage discussion outside class d. did not encourage 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? va. Very helpful b. sometimes helpful c. not at all helpful d. did not advise 12. The teacher's approach can best be described as a. Always courteous b. some times rude c. Always unfair d. cannot say 13. Internal assessment was a. Always fair b. sometimes unfair c. Usually unfair d. sometimes fair 14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade? a Helps to improve b. discouraging d. sometimes effective c. no special effect 15 How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? a. Regularly / in time b. with helpful comment c. often / late d. without any comments 16 Were your assignments discussed with you? B. Yes, fully b. yes, partly c. not discussed at all d. sometimes discussed 17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

b. no

Good lectures

If yes, was it helpful?

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52

SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Mwic

Course: T.Y.B.A

Teacher

: All Subject year : 2021 - 2022

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

Ab. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

\a-85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teache	er able to communicate?
a Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
9. How far the teacher enco	ourages student participation in class?
a mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
10 If yes, Which of the follow	
a. Encouraged to raise ques	tions b. get involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion out	side class d. did not encourage
11. How helpful was the tea	cher in advising?
a Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
12. The teacher's approach	
a Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say
13. Internal assessment wa	
Always fair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
	k the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
a Helps to improve	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
	er provide feedback on your performance?
a. Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment
c. often / late	d. without any comments
16 Were your assignments of	
a. Yes, fully	b. yes, partly
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
17. Were you provided with	a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
ares	b. no
If yes, was it helpful?	
a. Yes	b. no

Sakangdhar Sonali V

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Commerce

Course: Commerce

Teacher

: All Teachers Year : 2021 - 22

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

c a_easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

Ca. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teach	er able to communicate?	
a. Always effective	b. sometimes effective	
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective	
9. How far the teacher enc	ourages student participation	in class?
a mostly yes	b. sometimes	
c. not at all	d. always	*
10 If yes, Which of the fol	lowing methods were used?	
a. Encouraged to raise que	stions b. get involved in dis	cussion in class
c. encourage discussion ou	tside class d. did not encour	rage
11. How helpful was the tea	cher in advising?	
a Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful	*
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise	
12. The teacher's approach	can best be described as	
a Always courteous	b. some times rude	
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say	
13. Internal assessment wa	as	
a. Always fair	b. sometimes unfair	
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair	
14. What effect do you thin a. Helps to improve c. no special effect	k the internal assessment will b. discouraging d. sometimes effective	have on your course grade?
15 How often did the teach	er provide feedback on your	2016
a Regularly / in time	b. with helpful commo	performance?
c. often / late	d. without any comme	
16 Were your assignments of	liscussed with you?	
(a. Yes, fully	b. yes, partly	
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed	i
17. Were you provided with	a course contributory lecture	too at the beginning?
a. Yes	b. no	too at the beginning?
If yes, was it helpful?		
a. Yes	b. no	
	-3.55	
you may do so in the spa	ents to offer on the course and	ate sheet.
The teachers wes	he thoroughly Prepa	red.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52

SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department:

BMS

Course:

Teacher

All Reachers Year: 2021-2022

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a, more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teac	her able to communicate?
a Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
9. How far the teacher en	courages student participation in class?
a. mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
10 If yes, Which of the fo	llowing methods were used?
a. Encouraged to raise que	estions b. get involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion or	itside class d. did not encourage
11. How helpful was the te	acher in advising?
a. Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
	a. ala not adviso
12. The teacher's approach	can hest be described as
a Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say
J milan	d. Calliot Say
13. Internal assessment w	as
a. Always fair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
,	a. Sometimes fair
14. What effect do you thin	nk the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
a Helps to improve	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
-p	d. sometimes effective
15 How often did the teach	er provide feedback on your performance?
a. Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment
c. often / late	d. without any comments
	d. Without any comments
16 Were your assignments	discussed with you?
a. Yes, fully	b. yes, partly
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
Were you provided with	a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
a. Yes	b. no
If yes, was it helpful?	
a. Yes	b. no
18. If you have other comm	ents to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher
you may do so in the spa	ace given below or on a separate sheet.
The Tools 1 and	
The reacher	was therally prepedly
	2 1 of coty
	7

Janvi Punjabi

G.E.SOCIETY'S S.M.R.K. ARTS, FINE ARTS - B.K. COMMERCE - A.K. HOME SCIENCE MAHILA MAHAVIDYALAYA, NASHIK- 422 005

Document No. 53 SMRK - BK - AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./ 12

Analysis of past students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching.

4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average

Question No.	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Average	Overall Rating
1	4	4	4	4	4			
2	5	4	4	4	4			
3	3	3	3	4	4			
4	4	4	4	4	4			
5	4	4	4	4	4			
- 6	4	4	4	4	4			
7	3	3	5	4	4		3 00	= Excel
8	4	4	4	4	4		3.88	S PACE
9	4	4	4	4	4			
10	4	4	4	4	4			
11	4	4	4	4	4			
12	4	4	4	4	4			
13	4	4	4	4	4			
14	4	4	4	4	4			
15	3	3	3	4	4			
16	4	4	4	4	4			
17	4	5	4	4	4			
18	4	5	4	4	4			

69 69 70 72 72 - 352 88 3.8 3.8 404.0= 19.4 = 3.88



Principal
SMRK-BK-AK Mahila Mahavidyaraya
Nasik-422 005.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts – B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik – 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK –AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department	:	M	usi'c	Cours	e:	74	music
Teacher	•	AII	subject	Year	:	202	r.ror

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

The syllabus of each course was
 a. adequate
 b. inadequate
 c. challenging

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

c. inadequate b. adequate c. inadequate d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?
a. easy b. manageable
c. difficult d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100% b.70 to 85% c. 55 to 70% d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a. more than adequate
c. inadequate
d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a Easily
b. with some difficulty
c. not available at all
d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly
c. poorly
b. satisfactorily
d. indifferently

8. How well was the teach	her able to communicate?
A. Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
	g,,
9. How far the teacher en	courages student participation in class?
a. mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
walls and the form	
10 If yes, Which of the fo	llowing methods were used?
a. Encouraged to raise que	estions b. get involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion of	utside class d. did not encourage
11. How helpful was the te	and are in advising?
a. Very helpful	
c. not at all helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at an neipiui	d. did not advise
12. The teacher's approach	h can hest he described as
a. Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say
o. mways uman	d. Califor Say
13. Internal assessment v	vas
a. Always fair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
14 377	
14. What effect do you thi	nk the internal assessment will have on your course grade'
a. Helps to improve	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
15 How often did the teac	her provide feedback on your performance?
a. Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment
c. often / late	d. without any comments
16 Were your assignments	discussed with you?
la. Yes , fully	b. yes, partly
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
ranger and a	
Were you provided wit	h a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
\a. Yes	b. no

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

b. no

Good

If yes, was it helpful?

a. Yes

Gone mound suni,

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52

SMRK-BK -AK /OCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Music

Course: T. Y.B. A

Teacher

: All Subjects year :

2022 - 2023

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d. dull

Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? a. Always effective b. sometimes effective c. Just satisfactorily b. generally ineffective 9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a. mostly yes b. sometimes c. not at all d. always 10 If yes, Which of the following methods were used? a. Encouraged to raise questions b. get involved in discussion in class c. encourage discussion outside class d. did not encourage 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? Very helpful b. sometimes helpful c. not at all helpful d. did not advise 12. The teacher's approach can best be described as a. Always courteous b. some times rude c. Always unfair d. cannot say 13. Internal assessment was a. Always fair b. sometimes unfair c. Usually unfair d. sometimes fair 14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade? a. Helps to improve b. discouraging d. sometimes effective c. no special effect 15 How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? b. with helpful comment a. Regularly / in time c. often / late d. without any comments 16 Were your assignments discussed with you? a. Yes fully b. yes, partly c. not discussed at all d. sometimes discussed

17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?

If yes, was it helpful?

a. Yes

b. no

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

2

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts – B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik – 5.

Document No.52

SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department:	Hindi	Course: T. Y. B. A	
	0.00	NAV TO BE	

Teacher: All SubjectSyear: 2022 - 2023
Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

The syllabus of each course was
 a. adequate
 b. inadequate
 c. challenging

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a more than adequate
c. inadequate
d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a. easy b. manageable c. difficult d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

c. 55 to 70% b.70 to 85% d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a, more than adequate
c. inadequate
d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily
b. with some difficulty
c. not available at all
d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. theroughly b. satisfactorily c. poorly d. indifferently

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? a Always effective b. sometimes effective c. Just satisfactorily b. generally ineffective 9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a. mostly yes b. sometimes c. not at all d. always 10 If yes, Which of the following methods were used? a. Encouraged to raise questions b. get involved in discussion in class c. encourage discussion outside class d. did not encourage 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? a Very helpful b. sometimes helpful c. not at all helpful d. did not advise 12. The teacher's approach can best be described as a. Always courteous b. some times rude c. Always unfair d. cannot say 13. Internal assessment was Always fair b. sometimes unfair c. Usually unfair d. sometimes fair 14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade? a. Helps to improve b. discouraging c. no special effect d. sometimes effective 15 How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? b with helpful comment a. Regularly / in time c. often / late d. without any comments 16 Were your assignments discussed with you? (a. Yes, fully b. yes, partly c. not discussed at all d. sometimes discussed 17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning? If yes, was it helpful? b. no

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

New Good

Aarti Sunil Kadan

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts – B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik – 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Commexce	Course:	Commerce

Teacher: Oll Leachers. Year: 2022-2023

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a. adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

d.dull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a. more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a._easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

c_a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

a, more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a_thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teacher	r able to communicate?
	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
9. How far the teacher encou	urages student participation in class?
a. mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
o. not at an	u. always
10 If yes, Which of the follo	
	ions b. get involved in discussion in class
c. encourage discussion outs	side class d. did not encourage
11 How halnful was the too	has in advising?
11. How helpful was the tead	
	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
12. The teacher's approach of	can best be described as
, a. Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	d. cannot say
95%	
13. Internal assessment was	
a. Always fair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
14. What effect do you think	the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
A Helps to improve	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
	er provide feedback on your performance?
a. Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment
c. often / late	d. without any comments
16 W	
16 Were your assignments d	b. yes, partly
Ares, fully	d. sometimes discussed
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
17. Were you provided with	a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
a. Yes	b. no
If yes, was it helpful?	
a. Yes	b. no
18 If you have other comme	ents to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher
	ace given below or on a separate sheet.
mil the beach	nexs and the convilum was well
Pained	
meun	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No.52 SMRK-BK -AK /QCC/Aca/Stu./11

Past Students' overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching (To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: BMS

Course: BMS

Teacher

: All Teachers Year : 2022 - 2023

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and

The information will be used for the improvement of the course and teaching in future You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was

a/adequate

b. inadequate

c. challenging

dedull

2. Background for benefiting from the course was

a more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. cannot say

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

a easy

b. manageable

c. difficult

d. very difficult

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

(a. 85 to 100%

b.70 to 85%

c. 55 to 70%

d. less than 55%

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

more than adequate

b. adequate

c. inadequate

d. very poor

6. To What extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easily

b. with some difficulty

c. not available at all

d. with great difficulty

7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

a. thoroughly

b. satisfactorily

c. poorly

8. How well was the teacher al	ble to communicate?
Always effective	b. sometimes effective
c. Just satisfactorily	b. generally ineffective
	ges student participation in class?
mostly yes	b. sometimes
c. not at all	d. always
10.70	* *
10 If yes, Which of the followi	
	s b. get involved in discussion in class
 c. encourage discussion outside 	e class d. did not encourage
11 11 1-1-6-1	aru agustonico
11. How helpful was the teache	and the contraction of the contr
A. Very helpful	b. sometimes helpful
c. not at all helpful	d. did not advise
12. The teacher's approach can	hast he described as
a. Always courteous	b. some times rude
c. Always unfair	
c. Aiways unian	d. cannot say
13. Internal assessment was	
a. Always fair	b. sometimes unfair
c. Usually unfair	d. sometimes fair
o. Ostally unian	G. Somedines late
14. What effect do you think th	e internal assessment will have on your course grade?
Helps to improve	b. discouraging
c. no special effect	d. sometimes effective
Section 1997	ř.
15 How often did the teacher p	rovide feedback on your performance?
Regularly / in time	b. with helpful comment
c. often / late	d. without any comments
8	the discrete decrements on pay (in) proceeds the complete for all
16 Were your assignments disc	ussed with you?
Yes, fully	b. yes, partly
c. not discussed at all	d. sometimes discussed
	100
17. Were you provided with a c	ourse contributory lecture too at the beginning?
a Yes	b. no
20	
If yes, was it helpful?	■ 000 No. 000
a. Yes	b. no
	THE PARTY STORY IN THE PARTY STATE OF THE PARTY STA
1 2	s to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher
you may do so in the space	given below or on a separate sheet.
8.4	W
Teachers wer	re and
150014013 001	12 your
	2

Samruddhi Aher



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	
Subject Taught: fc - TI - Women	in Changing India
Class: FY BA	Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	6
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	6
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	6
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	6

Date: 24-9-2018

	E			
	Signa	ture	(Minuth)	
Name of the student	t	Mundsi	Saniay	Bhuddne
Class FY B	A	R	coll No. 14	861

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: 51. Rie and	<u>}</u>
Subject Taught: Nomen in char	iging India.
Class: F.Y. B. A.	Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	9
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 24 | 9 | 18 .

	Signature Bonul
	Name of the student Komal Ravindra Sonar.
*	Class F. Y. B. A. Roll No. 14906



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: 51. 1919 this	5
Subject Taught: FC-II - Women	in Changing Inclia
Class: FY. BA	Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 24-9-18

	Zounde_
Signature	CHUNGS

Name of the student	Rutuja Rajendar Gangu	
Class FY.BA	Roll No. 14-872	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the	Teacher : डॉ- सिंध्	काकडे	
Subject Taug	ght: women in a	Chaging India	
Class :	F.Y.BA	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date: 24-9-18

	Signatu	re K.A. Barde
Name of	the student Kaja	Arjun Barde
Class _	F-Y-BA	Roll No. 14859



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :	का कडे	
Subject Taught: Women in	changing ?	India
Class: F.Y BA	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	3
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date.						
					A .	
				Signature _	Moundit	

Name of	the student	ali photogr	pewah
Class	F.Y.BA	Roll No.	14895



Remark

G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

ame of the reac	her:	s. S	indh	u K	akac	de.		Class	F	Y:B.	+.
ame of the Teac	men	in	Cha	ngir	19 I	ndia		Code :	FO	·-II	-
Sr. No.	10					Questi					1
OI. IVO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1 1
1	5	4	5	4	5	4	5		17/		\top
2	5	4	5	4	4	5	5			3	\top
3	5	4	3	4	5	4	5				T
4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4			142	
5	5	4	5.	5	3	4	5				1
6	- 4										
7				1				-			
8	SI I		100								
9						1					
10				w of			-		_		1
Total	25	21	22	21	22	22	24				\vdash
Average	5	4.2	4.4	4-2	4.4	4.4	4.8			2	
Remark	4.	48	- Vo	84 G	boor			-			
me of the Teach								01	5.4	(B. +	\ . ·
me of the Teach	er: D	r. S	indhe	a K	akad	le	tin	Class :	S.Y	(.B. A	\ .
bject: Socia	er: D	r. S	indhe	a K	akad	le men	tin	Class :	S. Y	(B. A	١
me of the Teach bject : Social Sr. No.	er: D	r. S	indhe	a K	akad	le	tin	Class : Code :	S. Y	(.B. F	
oject: Socia	er: Dr	ang a	indhe R	a K Dev	akad	men	t in	Code :	D	CY	
bject : Social	er: Dr	ange Indi	indhe R	Dev	akad relop	men Questio	n No.	Code :	D	CY	
Sr. No.	1 Ch	ang and Indi	indha ia 3	Dev	akad selop	Questio	t in No. 7	Code :	D	CY	
Sr. No.	1 5 5	Indi	indhe R	Dev Dev	akad relop	Question 6 5	n No. 7 5 5	Code :	D	CY	
Sr. No.	1 Ch	Indi	indhe R	Dev 4 5 5 4	akad selop	Questio	t in No. 7 5 5 5	Code :	D	CY	
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4	1 Ch	ang 1 Ind: 2 5 5 5 5	3 5 5	Dev 1 5 5 4 5 5	akad relop	Question 6 5 5 4	t in No. 7 5 5 5 5 5 5	Code :	D	CY	
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5	1 Ch	ang 1 Ind: 2 5 5 5 5	3 5 5	Dev 1 5 5 4 5 5	akad relop	Question 6 5 5 4	t in No. 7 5 5 5 5 5 5	Code :	D	CY	
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	1 Ch	ang 1 Ind: 2 5 5 5 5	3 5 5	Dev 1 5 5 4 5 5	akad relop	Question 6 5 5 4	t in No. 7 5 5 5 5 5 5	Code :	D	CY	
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1 Ch	ang 1 Ind: 2 5 5 5 5	3 5 5	Dev 1 5 5 4 5 5	akad relop	Question 6 5 5 4	t in No. 7 5 5 5 5 5 5	Code :	D	CY	
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1 Ch	ang 1 Ind: 2 5 5 5 5	3 5 5	Dev 1 5 5 4 5 5	akad relop	Question 6 5 5 4	t in No. 7 5 5 5 5 5 5	Code :	D	CY	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1 Ch	ang 1 Ind: 2 5 5 5 5	indha 2 3 5 5 5 4 5 5	Dev 1 5 5 4 5 5	akad relop	Question 6 5 5 4	t in No. 7 5 5 5 5 5 5	Code :	D	CY	111

Excellent.



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :	Dr.	N. 13	Danc	pikkak	
Subject Taught :	ancial	Audition	ig pape	4-II	
Class: T'Y. B.	com		_ Div :	Commerce	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 26 0 9 12018

Name of	the student	Protima.	Sanjay	. Grupita
Class _	Tivers	com	_Roll No.	08.

Signature

Trating Junta



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : Dr. N.B. Sone	girkar .	
Subject Taught: finencial Accounting &	duditing	Paper II - Corpresente Auditing
Class: T.Y.B.(om ·	5.0	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 26 09 18

	Sign	nature		
Name of	the student Re	ekha 5.	Rayput	
Class	T.y.B. com.	(e)	_Roll No	25 MARION



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03 **APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT**

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. N. B. Jongir Kas

Subject '	Taught: financial Accounting & Auditing Paper	II - lorpero		
Class: T. Y. B. Com Div:				
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating		
1	Teaching Skills	5		
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	.5		
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5		
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5		
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5		
6	Giving references for further reading	5		
7	Overall impression of the Asset	-		

Date: 26 |09)18

	Signature	thear	-	
Name of the st	tudent <u>Meena</u>	a.B. Keer		-
Class	4. B.6m	Roll No.	14	*



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4 SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	s 1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. N.B. Songix Kour

	T.y.B.Com Div:	g Paper-II corpora
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	ч
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5-

Date: 26 (09 \ 2018

Overall impression of the teacher.

7

	Signature
N	Jame of the student Khushboo Vnesh Pal
С	class T.y. B. Com Roll No. 20

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : DV N.	B. Songirkar	
Subject Taught: Financial	Accounting & Audit	ing Paper IT-Corporate A
Class: TY. B.Com.	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	K

Date: 26/09/18.

Name of the student Sungawashi Askaini A. Class Ty Boom Roll No. 32		Signature	110		
	Name of the student	Suniaux	ansh'	Ashcaini	A.
		J	Roll No	32	

2018-19 Comm



G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

ne of the Teac	cipie	000	Bus	3.10	ano	ger	nent	Code:	14	0106	2
Sr. No.			Į.			Questi					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	14	14	5	4	5	5				
2	5	4	L	5	4	5	5				
3	4	4.	5	4	4	4	4	0			
4	H	H	5	4	5	4	4				
5	5	4	5	5	4	5.	4				
6		1									A 150
7											
8	94									11 15	
9											- 3
10										7.7	
Total	23	20	23	23	21	23	22			-	-
Average	4.6	4	Link	LAG	LIND	46	4.4	77.7			

Name of the Teacher: Dr. N.B. Sangirkar Class: T.J.B.com

Subject: Corparate Auditing Code: 550215

Sr. No.	- 1	98				Questic	on No.				
OI. 110.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	5	5	5	B	5	5	5				
. 3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2			
4	5	20	4	5	5	Б	5				
5	6	5	5	5	5	4	5				
6											
7											
8											
9					100						
10										-	
Total	28	24	24	25	25	24	25			1	111
Average	5	4.8	4.8	5	5	4.8	4.8				
Remark	Art	366	xce	ien	+7						



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teac	her: <u>Dr. Mrs. M</u>	anjı	usha G	Bhakey.		
Subject Taught : _	Fundamentals	of	food	science	and	nutrition
Class: F.Y.	BHSc.		Div :		ell.	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1.	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5.

Date:

Signature	e
Name of the student Anus	inka. A. Kulkarni.
Class F. T. B. HSc	Roll No. 1072 .



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

AK	A			
F	FOUN	SCIENCE	& MUTRITION	
_	_ Div :			
		AKA F FOUT	AKA F FOUR SCIENCE Div:	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3.
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

)ate :	8 8 8.	
	Signature	Janul
×	 Name of the student	i. S. vgali
*	Class F.Y. BSC	Roll No. 1125

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1-
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Subject Taught: Fundamentals of food Sience & Nutrition	ion

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	15

Date: 15/2/2019

			,		
Name of th	e student	Arpita.	v Tibre	wala	
ClassF	- 4 B	Sci (H.S)	Roll No.	1122	

Signature .

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: DE. 11. A. Bhakay					
Subject Taught: science & Nutzition					
Class: FY Div: II					

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 15/02/2019

Signature	8.N	golechha	
o.g. a.c.		10212019	_

Name of	f the student	Sheeya	9010	echha	
Class	FYBH	15 C	Poll No	10.30	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Tead	cher: Do. M.A.	Bho	Ke			w.
Subject Taught : _	Fundamental	of	Food	Ociena	and	Nutrition (Practi
Class :	F.Y BHSC		Div :	<u>T</u>	2	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	8
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 15-62-19

Signature	Kalyano			
14 \				

Name of t	he student .	Kalyani	Abhau	Chorbele
		J.	1	
Class	F. Y PHS	ic i	Roll No	1021



G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

C \	:) ·	DES	n pa	mole	2.	1 .	Class:	E,	FB.	x De
ubject : <u>Funda</u>	nen	lale) for	od &	& N	inte	utron,	Code:			
					Trai	Questic	D. No.				
Sr. No.	1	2	3	1 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	.5	14	5	4	4	5	5	•	-	1.0	
2	5	4	5	14	3	2	4				
3	5	5	5	4	5	_	5				
4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5				
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
6	y.									1	
7).			
8											
9									- 1		
10				. 1						7.4	
Total	25	23	25	21	22	22	24			-	74
Average	5	4.6					4.8				
Remark	4.	62						1.	-		
		121			200						0
me of the Teacher	. Da enta	M ls of	· A	d Se	shall N.	nti	tim o	Class:	. 1 -	3 · SC	Sem
oject: Fundam	<u>Da</u> enta	M Js 8	· A	d Se	& N.	nt in	tim o	Class:	1 6	3 · SC	Sem
oject : Fundam Sr. No.	ienta 1	M ls 8	Foros	d Se	& N.	nti	tim o	Code:	9	10	Sem 11
ject: Fundam	ienta	ls of	s Food	d Se	& N	nt.	Tum o	ode:			
oject : Fundam Sr. No.	ienta 1	ا <u>ء</u> ا	3	d Se	& N.	Question 6	No.	ode:			
11	ienta 1 5	2 5	3	4 5	& N.	Question 6	No.	ode:			

Sr. No.	Question No.										
01.110.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	4	5	5	4	5				
2	5	4	4	3	4	4	5				
3	5	5	5	. 4	5	5	5	-		, i	
4	5	5	5	5	.5	5	5				
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		-0		
6											
7											
8											
9											
10			ř								
Total	25	24	23	22	24	23	25	()	2.5		ec
Average	5	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.6	5				
Remark	4.	74	Ex	celle	ent						



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : Froche	end Sonaware man.
Subject Taught: Print	Making
Class: SY	Div:

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating	
1	Teaching Skills	5	
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5	
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4	
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5	
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5	
6	Giving references for further reading	4	
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5	

Date: 18 - 9 - 2018

	5	signature —	, and		
Name of th	e student .	Rohini	Naza	yan Pa	nd
Class	SY	BVA	_Roll No	6.	1 22

Riffered it ?



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :Aacho	ana madam	
Subject Taught: Print n	nakeing	52 1
Class: SYBUA	Div :	· .

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	3
- 3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	3
6	Giving references for further reading	3
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date: 19/9/2018

Signature	Parmer
Name of the student	layuni Dange
Class SY BVA	Roll No

Hannem.D

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Tea	cher: A	rchana	Majam		_
Subject Taught :	Paint	Making		* 3	
Class:	YBVA	1	Div :	· ·	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating					
1	Teaching Skills	4					
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5					
3	3 Availability of the teachers in & out of the class						
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.						
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5					
6	Giving references for further reading	4					
.7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4					

Date: 18/4/18,

	73	5
Name of the student _	Kankary.	Shouti
ClassSYRVA	Roll	No05

Signature .

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

	5	4	3	2	1	
Γ	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Atchana Mam

Overall impression of the teacher.

Subject Taught: Print making

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	3
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4

Date:

Name of the student	Vaishnavi	Raiendra	Mali
	У.	1	
Class SYBVA		Roll No. <u>05</u>	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

42,	5	4	3	2	1
Ex	cellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Archana	la am	
Subject Taught: Point making		
Class: S. Y - B. V. A	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	L
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
.7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 19 - 9 - 2018

	Signature S Gordhale
	Name of the student Gardhale Sakshi
(A)	Class 5. 7 - 8. 7. P Roll No. 02



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Gaurar Bharrar Class: 5.4.8.V.A.
Subject: Still life Code:

Sr. No.					Q	uestion]	No.				JUL 1
51. 110.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	5	4	4	5	5	5	5				
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	5	5	4	5	5	LA	4				
5	5	5	5	4	5	14	5				
6	2										
7							1	7.77			
8											
9											
10						"					
Total	25	24	23	24	25	23	24				
Average	5	4.8	4.6	4.8	5	4.6		=	33.6		
Remark	4.8		uu .	900	d F		ent.		1		

Name of the Teacher: A echana Sonawane Class: 5.4.B.V.A.

Subject: Print making Code:

Sr. No.	Question No.										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1
1	5	5	4	5	5	4	5.				
2	4	3	3	4	3	3	4				
3	4	5.	5	4	5	4	4				
4	4	3	3	4	5	20	5				
5	5	4	4	3	4	5	5			. ^	
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
Total	22	20	19	20	22	20	23				
Average	4.4	4	3.8	4	4.4	4		= 20	02		
Remark	4.17	2	VPH	1 0		Exce					



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Name of the Teacher: Dr. M.D. Dhondge Patil	:
Subject Taught: Financial Accounting 4 Auditing Paper IV - Management Account	തവ്യ-11
Class: T. Y. B. Com Div.:	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	3
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	3

Date: 7/3/2020	Signature:
	Name of the Student : Akshada Vijay Maind
	Class: T.Y. R. Com Roll No : 21



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

	ne Teacher: Financi		-	
Subject Ta	nught: Financial	Accounting &	Auding Penper-1	V - Management
	T. W. R. Cam	Div :	-	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 7/3/2020	Signature: Pranali
	Name of the Student: Prancil vinoch wikey
	Class: T. V. Broom Roll No.: 36



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher:	1. D. Dhonge	le Patil	3
Subject Taught : Financial	Accounting &	Auditing	PuPer IV.
Class: 1. Y. B. lom	Div. :	* -	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	3
6	Giving references for further reading.	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date: 07 03 2020	Signature:
	Name of the Student: Jayshri Mohan Mhane
	Class AV Over Ball No. 22



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher: Dx M.D (hanolge	Veitil	Sie
Subject Taught: Management	Account	any	
Class: Ty Bcom.	Div. ;	<u> </u>	* 2

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	B

		9
Date: 7/3/2020	Signatur	re: Jujule
	Name of the Student: Rouganko	
	Class: FYR Com Ball No.	. 11



Date: 7/3/2020

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher: Dr M Dhonde Putil	
	raught: Finacial Accounting a Auditing	Papar II
Class : _	Ty b. com Div.:	
Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	3
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	2
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	3

Name of the Student: __See ma

b. Com Roll No.: 26



Remark

G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

		V 0	. 50	10011	5 Ron	T		Class	:	9.63.0
Subject : Fic	euncia	LAL	180	aud	omi	9		Code	:_6	505
Sr. No.						Questi	on No.			
Oi. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	5	4	5	14	4	14.	4			
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			
3	14	14	3	2	3	4	5			
4	4	4	13	4	4	5	5	1	1	
5	3	3	4	3	2	3	4		1	1
6	11/2						1			1
7			1			-	1	1	+-	+
8	7.	1				 	1	_	+	-
		 				-	+	-	-	+-
10					-	-	-		-	-
Total	201	20	20	17	20	21	20	-	-	
	42	20	4.0			4.2	23		-	+
Average		17.0	7.0	24	10	14.5	14,0			1
Remark			Goo		lara	0.11	151		70	
lame of the Teach	er: DT	ma	p. D	hone						
lame of the Teach	er: DT	ma	p. D	hone	4((D) (C)	<u>)</u>			
lame of the Teach	er: DT	m 1 ak	D. D	hone	y (On 'C	<u>)</u>	Code:	60	5 02
lame of the Teach	ncicul s	ma	D. D R Duc	hone	4((D) (C)	n No.			
lame of the Teach ubject: <u>Fro</u> Sr. No.	er: DT	m 1 ak	D. D.	hono Littly	4 (55)	On Constitution	<u>)</u>	Code:	60	5 02
lame of the Teach ubject: £100 Sr. No.	ncial I	m 1 ak	2. Du	hone JHY	5500	in Conversion	n No.	Code:	60	5 02
Sr. No.	ncicul 1	m 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	D. D 8 Aw 3 B 5 Y	home 4 5 5 5 5	7 5 5 5 5 5	Duestion	n No.	Code:	60	5 02
Sr. No.	nucl 1	E & 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2. D 8. Du 3. B 5. J 4.	14 5 5 5 5 Y	3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	in Constitution of the con	1 No.	Code:	60	5 02
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5	ncicul 1	m 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	D. D 8 Aw 3 B 5 Y	home 4 5 5 5 5	7 5 5 5 5 5	Duestion	n No.	Code:	60	5 02
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	nucl 1	E & 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2. D 8. Du 3. B 5. J 4.	14 5 5 5 5 Y	3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	in Constitution of the con	1 No.	Code:	60	5 02
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	nucl 1	E & 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2. D 8. Du 3. B 5. J 4.	14 5 5 5 5 Y	3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	in Constitution of the Con	1 No.	Code:	60	5 02
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	nucl 1	E & 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2. D 8. Du 3. B 5. J 4.	14 5 5 5 5 Y	3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	in Constitution of the Con	1 No.	Code:	60	5 02
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	nucl 1	E & 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2. D 8. Du 3. B 5. J 4.	14 5 5 5 5 Y	3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	in Constitution of the Con	1 No.	Code:	60	5 02
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	nucl 1	E & 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2. D.	14 5 5 5 5 Y	365000	in Constitution of the Con	1 No. 7 0 15 17 16 15	Code:	60	5 02



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

ESTD-28th June., 1985

SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teach	ner: Mrg. Pro	ineta 1	Vildumbh	
Subject Taught : _	Financial	Gervice	G	
O 44	1000	TT)		

Class: 1). (0) (POIT-II) Div.:

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	354
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading.	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

7	Overall impression	n of the teacher.
Date :	31312020	Signature:
4) (1 - 5)		Name of the Student: Abolee Ajit Ghadi
		Class: M. COM (Ritt-I) Roll No.: 3



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher: Mass. Pro	ineta Miku	mbh	
Subject Taught: Financial S	ervices		
Class: Micom #	Div. :	-	
Sr. No. At	tributes		Rating

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 3.3.2020	Signature :
	Name of the Student: Jyoh Ankaikar
*	Class: M.(om TI Roll No.: 01



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

ESTD-28th June., 1985

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Sr No			Attribu	5.0		Ratin	
Class:	HONE	-11	30	Div.:	Sen - TT	<u></u>	
Subject Ta	aught:	Francis	ial "	BOLURO	<u>C</u> .		
Name of th	ne Teacher:	Mrs.	Pran	eta 1	vrkunbh	Madan.	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 03/03/2020	Signature :
	Name of the Student: \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

ESTD-28th June., 1985

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher:	Praneta	Nikumbh. Mam	
Subject Taught :	Financial	Services	
Class: Mcom	二正	Div.: Sem -IV	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date :	03/03/2020	Signature:
		Name of the Student: Roo Charuta . D.
		Class: Mcom - T Roll No.: 11



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher: Pranche	Nikumbh Maram.
Subject Taught: Pinamaial	Bervices.
Class: Mccm-II	Div.: Sem-IV

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 03/03/2	020
---------------	-----

	Ly simbolitie
	SALDVOU
Signature	: 0

Name of the Student :	Nameocher ?	· Khambekeer
		*

Class:	Mcom	II.	Roll No. :	(04)	
	,				



G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

1	oject: Fina	ncio	U S	500	sice).			Code :	4	0000	12
1	Sr. No.						Questio	on No.				
2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		1 1		_			Contact Contact		8	9	10	11
3		1.4				4						
4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3 4 5 6			+			5						
5		5			_							
6 7 8 9 10 10 Total 29 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 A 166 Average 46 46 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48					_		5	5			10	
7 8 9 10 Total 29 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24		5	5	5	5	5	5	5		- 1		
8 9 10 10 Total 2.9 2.3 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 16.6 Average 4.6 4.6 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.8								,			-	
9 10 Total 29 29 24 24 24 24 24 166 Average 46 46 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48	7											
10 Total 29 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 Average 46 46 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48	The second secon			1							1.00	
Total 23 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	9											
Average 4646484848484848 Remark Enclient Deed the Teacher: Class: Str. No. Question No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 10	10				. :							
Average 4646484848484848 Remark For cellent ee of the Teacher: Class: ect: Code: Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 6 7 8 9 10 11 8 9 10 11 10 11	Total	23	23	24	24	24	24	24			MICH.	1160
Remark Facelent ect: Code: Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 6 7 8 9 10 11 8 9 10 10 11	Average		4.6	4.8	48	48	4.8	4.8			ti	4.
e of the Teacher: Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Remark	1									-	1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 8 9 10 10 10 10	ect :											
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 8 9 10 10 10 10									ode:.			
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 9 10	×		47						Code:			
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Sr. No.	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				11
4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Sr. No.	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				11
5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Sr. No.	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				11
6 7 8 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Sr. No. 1 2	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				111
7 8 9	Sr. No. 1 2 3	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				11
8 9 10	Sr. No. 1 2 3 4	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				111
9 10	Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				11
10	Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				11
	Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				11
Total	Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				11
10.00	Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1	2		4		Question	n No.				11
Average	Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1	2	3	4		Question	n No.				11



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher:	kavita 1	(holgade	
Subject Taught :	Physical	Education	
Class: T.Y. B.	(om	Div. :	7597

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	3
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	3
6	Giving references for further reading.	3
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	3

	Overall impression	Tor the teacher.)
Date : t	07/03/2020	Signature :	Inhane
		Name of the Student:	in Mhasne
		Class : 17 7 8 (om Roll No. :	22.



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher: Kavila	khelgade	
Subject Taught : Physical	Education	
Class: TY B Com.	Div. :	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading.	3
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date: 7/3/20,	Signature : _	Sirpula
	Name of the Student: Pling and a	Craud.
	Class: Ty Blom Roll No.:	11



G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

	10 %		1	Kh	0)00	196		Class		4.10.1	om
ubject : Phys	sicul	E	ducc	Hor) (Sen	111	Code :			*
Sr. No.	T					Questio	on No.				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	3	121	4	4	3	3.	3				
2	14	5	14	4	14	3	14				
3	14	14	14	KI	14	4	15'				
4	3	4	3	14	3	2	13.				
5	14	14	4	129	14	4	4		V 0		
6							1				
7	2			1						Q7 - 1 x	
8											
9	18		-	1						1 TH	
10				. :							
Total		21	21	20	18	16	18			-1-4	13
Average	3.6	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.9	3.6				2-1
Remark		1000							1		
ne of the Teacher ;	-				*****			Class:			-
ne of the Teacher ;							(************
							(machine and a second
						Question	(Code:			
Sr. No.							(
Sr. No.						Question	(Code:			111
Sr. No. 1 2						Question	(Code:			11
Sr. No. 1 2 3						Question	(Code:			111
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4						Question	(Code:			111
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5						Question	(Code:			111
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6						Question	(Code:			111
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7						Question	(Code:			11
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7						Question	(Code:			111
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8			3			Question	(Code:			11
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9			3			Question	(Code:			
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8			3			Question	(Code:			11



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

ESTD-28th June., 1985

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher: MR. Yashwo	unt kelkar
Subject Taught: Research M	ethodology
Class: M. Com-I	_ Div. :

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	05
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	05
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	05
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	04
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	05
6	Giving references for further reading.	05
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	05

Date :	1 19
	Signature: OPotolesti.
	Name of the Student: Pardeshi Varsha
	Class: Tol. Com Roll No.:



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

ESTD-28th June., 1985

SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the	e Teacher: Dr. As	lashwort Kelkors Heeli kulkarni	-
Subject Tau	ught: Clonomic, R	leseret m.	
Class :	M. (0m- 1	Div. :	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	3
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	9
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	3

Date :	03/03/2020			Signatu	ire :	dhaya
		Name	e of the Student:	Priyanka	Pravin	Jadhar
		Class	. M.com -	I POLING	. 05	-



5

6

7

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

. 5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher: Mr. Yashwant Kelkar.	
Subject 1	aught: Research Methodology	
Class:_	M. com I. Div.:	
Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5

5

5

5

Date :			Signature :	Proting
	Name of	the Student : _	Pratina - S.	Gupta
	Class:	M. com	Roll No. :	04

Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.

Giving references for further reading.

Overall impression of the teacher.

ESTD-28th June., 1985

Date: 3.3.2020

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Yashwant Kelkar

Subject T	aught: Research Methodology				
Class :	M.com-I Div.:				
Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating			
1	Teaching Skills.	5			
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.				
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5			
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.				
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5			
6	Giving references for further reading.	5			
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5			

Signature : _ Nathank

Name of the Student: Vaishnevi 5. Bawiskar

Class: M.(om-I Roll No.: 01



Date:

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1		
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average		

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Yashwan+ kelkar six

Subject T	aught: Havancoa management Research Me	FLIODO IOC				
Class :	M.Com I Div.:					
Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating				
1	Teaching Skills.	3				
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.					
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.					
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.					
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.					
6	Giving references for further reading.					
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5				

Name of the Student: Tayashree Gumbade

Class: M.COM I Roll No.:



G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

oject: Rfs	(NOT)	n Y	HOL	noc	0/00/	4		Code:	20	000	7
Sr. No.	L		88778			Questic	on No.				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	T
1	1.5	5	5	4	5	5	5				T
2	21	3	4	3	5	4	3			100	1
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5			a 150	T
5	3	4	5	3	4	3	5			,	\top
6											
7	1 4										1
8		W 10	1001								1
9			100								T
10	100			. 1						2	\top
Total	22	22	24	19	24	22	23		7 19		10
Average	4.4.	4.4	4.8	3.8	40.	4:4					4,
Remark	Ve	py	900	d					31	. 8	

Sr. No.	- 1	2				Questic	n No.				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	4	5	4	3	4	4				
2	14	5	5	3	4	4	4				
. 3	5	5	5	5	4	5	5				1
4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5				
5	5	4	4	4	5	5	9				
6		LAR					1				T
7											
8	1 177										
9								A-1111111			
10											
Total	24	23	24	20	21	22	22			411	15
Average	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.0	4.2	424					4.2
Remark	V	ery	90								



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :	Deshmane chetan	
Subject Taught : 9101 -	English I [H.L.]	
Class: fy. BHSc	Div :A	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	S
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date:	22ng	August	2019
-------	------	--------	------

Signature —	(fiera)
Name of the student Pranali	S. Jaju
Class <u>FY. BHSc</u>	_Roll No

D 1:



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Os. cheta	n Deshmane.
Subject Taught: English I [91017
Class: FYBHSC	Div: _A -

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 22 8 19

s	ignature Jami'.
Name of the student	Janu R. Patil
Name of the student _	Variat N. Jacob
Class F7BH.5c	Roll No



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. cheto	in Deshmane
Subject Taught: Fnglish 910	
Class: FY.BHSC	Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 22/8/19

	Signature 2	W SIN LU	
Name of	the student _kshifin	a Nikumbh	8
Class _	Fy.BHsc.	Roll No	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Deshm	nane Chetan	
Subject Taught: English I [H-	L7 [9101]	
Class: FYBHSc	Div :A	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 22/8/19

Signature	
Name of the student Khushi	K Agrawal
Class FYBHSc.	Roll No



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Deshman	Chetan			
Subject Taught: 9101 - English I	H·L	2	35	
Class: FY BHSc	Div :	A		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	+
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 22nd August 2019

Name o	of the student	Mitali	γ.	Bheka	ribem	_
Class .	FYBHS	c .		Roll No	-	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Deshuare

Class: FYBSC

Subject: English I H.L.

Code: 9101

		1111						0;			
Sr. No.					Q	uestion l	No.			_	
ettert (45:5/4505)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	4	5	5	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5				
4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5				
5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5				
6	- 102					,					2000
7							1				
8											
9											
10						- 1					
Total	24	24	24	24	25	24	25				
Average	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	5	4.8	5				
Remark	4.8	E-	Fu	eller	1.						

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Geetanjali Gitay Class: FYBSc

Subject: English I L.L.

Code: 9101

Sr. No.					Q	uestion l	No.				
51. 110.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	4	5	4.				
2	5	5	5	5	4	5	5				
3	5	5.	4	5	5	4	5				
4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5				
5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4		-		
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
Total	25	23	24	24	23	24	23				
Average	5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6				
Remark	4.7		Exce								

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : <u>Or.</u>	Savita Borse.
Subject Taught : Applied	Oscience [Biology] [9102]
Class: FYBHSC	Div: A

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	14
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 22 8 19

	Signature —	d	
Name of the studen	t Janui	R. Patil	
Class FYBHS	SC.	Roll No	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : DF . S	avita Borse	
Subject Taught : Aplide	science (Biology) 9102	
Class: FY. BHSc.	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 22-8-19

Name of the studen	kshiti7a	Nikumbh	
		•	
Class FY BH	Sc	Roll No.	11 22

Signature .



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	Borse
Subject Taught: 9102 - Applied	science (Theory)
Class: FY BHSc	Div : A

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 22nd August 2019

Name of the student Propoli	9 · Jaju
a	J
Class FY BHSC	_Roll No

Signature Parch



G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

, 0	Λ			-		0		Class			
Name of the Teach	ied :	lcie	nce	(71	eor	y)		Code :	910	2	
5 7 8 8				6		Questio	20015				
6 1 7 1 8	9 1	1.2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	T
1	- 5	5	4	5	5	5	5				Ť
2	5	5	5	5	4	5	5			CR CT	Ť
3	5	5	4	4	5	5	5				T
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				T
5	5	5	5	14	5	5	5				T
6											T
7							1				T
8											T
9	1 1			è			3				
10				3 8			a 27				Γ
Total	25	25	23	23	24	20	25				I
Average	5	5	4.6	4.6	4,8	5	5				
											_
Remark Name of the Teach	1		-EX	eelle	ent.		Larra	Class :		d s	
-	er:		-EX	celle	ent.						
Name of the Teach	er:		-EX	celle		Questio					
Name of the Teach	er:		-EX	eelle		Questio 6					
Name of the Teach	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject : Sr. No.	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject : Sr. No.	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject : Sr. No. 1 2	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject: Sr. No. 1 2 3	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject : Sr. No. 1 2 3 4	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject: Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject: Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject: Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject: Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject: Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	er:	171	3				n No.	Code :			
Name of the Teach Subject: Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	er:	171	3				n No.	Code:	9	10	



A.K. Home Science M lahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK-BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	समे डॉ मीता यादव	
Subject Taught:	(म.भी.4)	
Class: 5.Y 8.A	Div. :	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

6	Giving references for further reading.	5	
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5	
Date :	25/02/20	Signature:	

Name of the Student : _	Nidhi	Krishan	Sonj



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce ila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5 **Home Science Mah**

ESTD-28th June., 1985

Document - 4 SMRK-BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Do Shob	ha Rome of off rurag
Subject Taught: Hindi Kah	ani
Class: S. Y. B. A	Div. :

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	Ч
6	Giving references for further reading.	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5.

Date:	25	0	2	120

729 C	Duraly.
Signature:	

Name of the Student :	Rupali	Karunashankar	Mishra

Class. J. 1. D. Roll No Z.	Class :	. y.	BA	Roll No.:	25	
----------------------------	---------	------	----	-----------	----	--



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	डी. श्रीका	राने डॉ गीता	याद्व
Subject Taught :	डेवी कहानी	(H. 2A. 4)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Class: Sygn	li .	Div. :	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	S
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

7	Overall impression	on of the teacher.
Date : 1	25/02/20	Signature:
		Name of the Student: Vibhavam D. Patil
		Class: S.y.B.A Roll No.:



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

ESTD-28th June., 1985

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	इं , शाभा	स्थे . ज	गीला	याद्व	
Subject Taught :	areid (रु सी प)			
Class: S.Y. B.A	•	Div.:			

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	3
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	Ч
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

7	Overall impress	ion of the teacher.	5
Date: 2	25/02/20	Signature :	eharing
		Name of the Student: Radha R.d.	Shaema:
		Class: 5 y B A Roll No.:	17



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

SMRK-BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	
Subject Taught: 1849 OSEIOF (II. 24)	
Class: Div.:	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	3
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	u
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	.3
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

150	925	U
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture project etc.	, demo,
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student	. 5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date :	25/02/20 Signa	ature: Poils Pai Brid

Name of the Student :	Porta Parth	gam R	aj BhaR
Class: _ 5. y. B. A	Roll No. :	24	



G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

pject: <u>bu</u>	ndi 1	caho	ani	- A.	C. 1	1		Code	47	540	3		
Sr. No.		Question No.											
01. 710.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		
1	1.5	4	5	4	5	5	5						
2	5	4	5	5	4	4	5			1			
3	5	4	4	5	5	4	5		. 5				
4	3	2,	4	.5	4	5	5						
5	3	4	19	3	5	5	5		1				
6							1			1-6			
7										. F S	-		
8			- 1										
9			2.7						1		,		
10				9 5					1	1, 1			
Total	121	20	22	22	23	25	25		100				
Average	4-2	4	4.4	1.4		4.6		=	31.	9			

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Greeta Yadav Class: S. Y. B.A.
Subject: APC - Rachana Kaushalya Code: 46 5203

Sr. No.		4.5				Questic	on No.				
	1 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	4.	4	5	5	4				
2	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	T		-	T
. 3	5	4	4	3	14	14	5	100			
4	5	4	5	4	4	5.	5	1			
5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5				
6	5	4	4	4	4	5	5				
7											-
8									7 X X X		
. 9											
10			f								
Total	30	25	26	25	27	27	29			at the second	100
Average	6	5	5.1	5		5.4		= 3	4.2		
Remark	1	4.88 = Excellent									



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Pryadashini Kulkarni Class: TYBSC (HD)

Subject: management of centres for children Code: 0463

Sr. No.					/ Qı	iestion N	Vo.	H.			
SI. NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
3	5	5	5	5	5	5.	5				
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				:15).
6											
7											
3					L						•
9											
10						, a					
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25				
Average	5	5	,5	5	5	5	5			L	
Remark	5	CE	acell	lent.			i	1/1		L	

Name of the Teacher: Mrs. sangiter learnbale Class: TYBSC (HD)

Subject: poplication in HD (Pr) Code: 0464

Sr. No.		Question No.										
St. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
1	5	5	5	4	4	5	5					
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	.5					
3	4	5.	4	5	4	5	5					
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				_	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5					
6												
7											_	
8											_	
9											_	
10		Te.										
Total	24	25	24	24	23	25	25				_	
Average	4.8	5	4.8	4.8	4.6	5	5		2		_	
Remark	4.89	5 (EXC	elle	pt)						Le	

Sign. of the Member / Incharge Q.C.C.

NY. Sansh Dhanawade

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	mrs. Sang	ita	kamk	pale	
Subject Taught : 1	pplication	'n	HD	(Pr)	
Class: TYBS	C (HD)	Div :		sem-VI	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

	*	
Date:		Telker
		Signature

Name of the	e student Miss	. Vedashree	Kelkar
Class _T.\	r. Busc.	Roll No	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

	5	4	3	2	1
E	cellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : Mrs. Sun	jita kambale	
Subject Taught: Application	in ItD (Pr))
Class: TYBSC	_ Div:Sem-V	Ĭ

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

S	Signature	Larsha
Name of the student	Ms. Harsha	N. Shirpurkar
Class T.Y. B.Sc	(H.D)	_Roll No

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	ngita le	ambale
Subject Taught: Pod. Application	in HD	(Pr)
Class: TYBSC (HD)	Div :	sem-VI

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

	Signature	
Name of th	ne student <u>dditi shirali</u>	2/
Class	TYBHUC H.D. Roll No.	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of th	ne Teacher :	mrs. Sa	ngita	kambale	
Subject Ta	ught: KApp	lications	in	HD.	
Class:	THBSC	(aH)	Div :	sem-VI	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

	s	ignature			
	Name of the student	Khan	Mehreen	Addul	Rauf
•	Class T. Y. BHS	·	Roll N	o. <u>10</u>	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the	e Teacher :	s. Sangi	ta 1	kamb	ale.	
	ught: A Applic	-	in	HD	(P8)	
Class:	TYBSC	(MD)	Div : .	s	em-VI	·

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	2

	8	Signature	Kedal	
Name	of the student	Ms. Sa	kshi ke	dar
Class	T.Y. Bso	[HD]	Roll No	76:

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

	5	4	3 .	2	1 -
r	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Priyadash	ilni	kulkar	ni	
Subject Taught: Management	of	centres	for	children Lyout
Class: TYBSC (140)				

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 17 03 2022

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher :				
Subject T	aught: Management	of	centre	for children	byouth (Px)
Class :	TYBSC (HD)		Div :	sem = VI	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 17 03 2022

		Signature _	(2	المالد (E 1	_
Name	of the stud	lent Taysh	ree	S.	Rout	
Class	T. Y.	BSC CHO	D) Ro	ll No.	14	61

FIRM

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the T	eacher:					
Subject Taugh	it: Management	of	centres	for	children	& youth
Class:	TYBSC (HD)	[Div :	sen	一型	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 17 3 22

Signature _	Phypachhye
Name of the student Payal.	J. Vpadhye
Class T. Y. BSC. CHD	Roll No19

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the	Teacher:	15				
Subject Tau	ght: Manage	ement of	centres	for	children	6 youth
Class:	TYBSC	(CDM)	Div :	SE	m-M	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	9
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

. 6	Giving references for further reading	S	
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	. 5	
Date :		4	
Date:	22 Signatu	THE TESHWIKE,	
	Name of the student	shwari Desh	mukh
	Class TYBSC. CH	(O) Roll No.	181

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :	
Subject Taught: Management of centres	for childrens youth
Class: TYRSC (PAD) Div:	Sem-VI_ (Pr)

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 17/03/2022

	Signature	Meghan	9	_
Name o	the student Megh	ana Asl	nok Aw	4
Class _	T. Y BSC Hom	ne_Roll No.	2	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No. 8 SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Textile science for Class: Name of the Teacher: Trupti Dhoka Code :

Subject .

C. No			,		Qı	uestion	No.				
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1.4	4	4	4	4	4	5				
2	5	5	5	5	S	S	2				
3	5	_5	2	5	5	5			<u> </u>		
4	4	3	4	5	4	5	14_				
5	5	5	ک	ا ڪ	ک	2	2				-
6		i									
7					į.						
3				1	Ĺ	; }					(2)
9	500000 55 Faire		4		-	<u> </u>					
10						*					
Teol	23	22	23	124	23	29	24		32.	6-	
Average	4.6	9.9	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.8	14.8		- · ·	<u> </u>	
Pemark	4	65	EX	toll P.	W	1	ì		,	7	

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Kavita

Class: FY, B, SC

Subject: Textite science &

Code:

, , ,			20	34.0.	me yes					COLUMN TO STATE OF ST	
Sr. No.					Qı	uestion ?	No.			,	
31. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	3	4	3	4	5	4	3.				
2	5	4	3	5	14	5	5				
3	4	4.	3	2	S	4	5				
4	4	3	4	3	4	3	4				
5	4	9	9	9	4	4	4				
6											
7											
8	4										
9											
10											
Total	20	19	17	18	22	20	21	-3-	27	,4	
Average	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.6	4.4	4,0	4.2			7	
Remark	3.	91	G	00d							11

P.R.Ni Lymbs

Sign. of the Member/Incharge Q.C.C. Dr. Sansh Dhanas

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : Trupti	Dhoka mam	
Subject Taught: Textile S	cience & Apparel	design
Class: Fy BSc Home S	ociente Div:	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	\$1
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	Ц
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

	Overall impression of the teacher.		5
Date :		2 F	
Dale .		. 8	11
		Signature	Love

Name	of the	studen	Sunga	amar	oshi	Neha	
			Į.				
Class	Fy	BSC.	Home	SCI	Roll No.	64	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : Tru	sti Dhok	a Ma	m.	
Subject Taught: Teach'le	Science	and	Apparel	Design
Class: FY. Bsc. Home	Science	Div:	Ÿ.	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: (6 03 2022

Signature _	360		
Name of the student Sakshi	Satish	Vishwo	ukanno
Class FY. BSC. Home Sc	eneroll No	69	*,

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :	rupti DI	hoka.	
Subject Taught : Textile	. Science	2 Apparel	Design.
Class: FY. BSC (H.	science.)	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date:16/03/2022.

	SignatureSkothe	_
Name of the student	Shweta D. Kathe.	
Class _ FY. BSC	CH. Sclence Roll No. 35	10

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

lass :	FY. BSC Div:			
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating		
1	Teaching Skills	4		
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	3		
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4		
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.			
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4		
6	Giving references for further reading	5		
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4		
Date :	Signature A. F. Name of the student APurva P	3 5		

Name of the Teacher : Troph Dhoka

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Signature _

_Roll No. __11

Name of the student Bhakti

Class F.y. BSC (Momo Science)

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 -	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

52	FY 1890 Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	3
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	3
Date :	Δ. 6	Pall I
	Signature A. F	OUT
		12
	Name of the student AParva Pa	til
*	Class FY BSC Roll	I No. 46

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Brake Mr. Kavita patil	
Subject Taught: Textile Science and Apparel Design	
Class: Fy · BSC (Home Science) Div:	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Signature

Signature

Name of the student Bhakki D. Bhusa

Class G. BSC (Name Roll No. 11

Science)

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

Γ	5	4	3	2	1
T	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teach	ner: Mrs.	kavita 1	Patil.		
Subject Taught : _	Textile	Science	and	Apparel	Design.
Class : FY. BSC	C (Home So	ience.)	_ Div :		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	2
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 16/03/2022

Signaturestathe
Name of the student Shweta D. Keuthe.
Class FY. BSC (Home) Roll No. 35

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : Kavita	Patil man	,	
Subject Taught: Textile	Science & Ap	pare 1 Design	
Class: Fy. BSC Home S	octence Div:		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	3
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	3
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4.

Oignaturo	
Name of the student Suryawanshi	Neha
Class Fy BSC Home Sci. Roll No.	64

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Trupti D Kavita Patil	Moun.
Subject Taught: Textile Science and Apparel	Design
Class: FY. Bec Home Science Div:	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date: 16 |03 | 2022

Name of the student	Satshi	Vish	warkor	ma
Class FY BCC				

Signature .

Spili



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Mrs. meenal ZeilearClass:

Subject: Fundamentals of FCl Nythition Code:

Sr. No.					Qı	uestion l	No.		,		
SI. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1
1	5	5	5	S	5	5	5				
2	5	5	5	S	2	5	2_				
3	5	5	5	5	5	15	S				
4	5	5	2	5	5	5	2				
5	5	5	2	ک ا	ک	5	2				
6						<u></u>		-7-41	-		
7		100.50									
3					L	<u>. </u>					1
9			2		11						
10											
Total	25	25	25	23	25	25	25	2	35	1=	\$_
Average	5	ک	2	3	5	_S_			7	Ĺ.,	L
Pemark	5 -	- EX	cell	e 2+	,		ì			<u> </u>	

Name of the Teacher: Procednya Abhyankar Class: F4B.SC Subject: Textile Science & Apparel Code:

Sr. No.			<u>1 (1</u>	and the second	Q	uestion l	No.				
SI. INO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	S	5			Mich I Massing at	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				<u> </u>
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				<u> </u>
5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5				<u> </u>
6											
7											1
8											
9											
10											
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	28	_	35	_	¢
Average	5	5	S	ک	5	2	7		7		1_
Remark	5-	- E	x ce	llent						L	10

Sign. of the Member/Incharge Q.C.C. 26/03/22 Dr. Sansh Dlanawad

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Prodnya Abhyankar	
Subject Taught: Textile and apparel design.	
Class: Fy. BSc (Home Science) Div:	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	S
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 18-03-2022

	Day!	
Signature	4	

Name of the student Kanishma Mohan Dalvi.

Class Fy. BSC(Homescience Roll No. 16

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher: Pradnya Abhyan kar	
Subject 7	the Teacher: Pradnya Abhyankova Taught: Textile Science & Apparel	Design
Class:_	F. Y BSC Home Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	2.5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date :		
	Signature	celas
	Name of the student Ashwing	s. kadam
	Class F. Y BSC Roll	No. 32

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher: Pradnya Abbhyankar Mam

Subject Taught: Textile Science and Apparel design

Class: FY BHSC Div:

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	- 5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: (8| 3|22

Signature —	Sisting.	
Name of the student <u>Shriki</u>	rti B.	Pher
Class FYBHJC	Roll No	1

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : Proc	inya Abh	yankar		
Subject Taught : Textile	Science &	Apparel	Design	
Class: F. V. BSc (Hon	ne Science)	Div :		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 18/03/2022

0	Stheetice
Signature	

Name of the student Speba Novendra Ghodke

Class FY. BSC (Hom science) Roll No. 23

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of th	ne Teac	her: Mrs.	Pradnyo	Abk	yankar.		
Subject Ta	ught:_	Textile	Roience	and	Apparel	design	
Class:	FY	BHSC		D	iv:		
<u></u>			A	•			Detina

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

•	Overall impression of the teacher.		
8	No.		5.5
Date:			
		*	
		Signature Frazian	
		0.26	

Name of	the student Prani	ta Prabhakar	Magn	unkhane
Class _	FYBHSC	Roll No	36	× 1

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
Class :	F.Y.B.Sc (Home Science) Div: F.Y. HSC.	
Subject T	aught: fundamentals of food Science & No	atrition f. V. Bsc
Name of	the Teacher: Meenal Zawd?	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: |8 | 03 | 2022

Signa	ture _	Tagge		
Name of the student	lar	Urmila	Pun	dlk
Class f. V. BSC (Home Sci	ehce	Roll No.	41	

5

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

3

4

2

1

Exc	ellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average
	are reques		ne brackets alongsion	de each serial num	ber, only the figure 1
lame of	the Teache	er: Mee	nal Zo	amak_	
ubject	Taught :	undamen	tal food	Science	4 Neub
Class : _	FY	BSC [HO	me Div:	-	4 Neub
Sr.No.			Attributes		Rating
1	Teaching	Skills			5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject				5
3	Availabili	ty of the teachers in	& out of the class		5
4	Teaching project e	methods used by the	ne teachers like gue	est lecture, demo,	5
5	Suggesti	ons for performance	improvement of th	e student.	5
6	Giving re	ferences for further	reading		5
7	Overall in	mpression of the tea	cher.		5
Date :	•	6		# (1)	4.
				Signature	Laction
	Nati		Name of the studer	tshwid	5. kada
	*	** ***********************************	Class F. Y	BSC	Roll No. 32

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Mrs. Meenal Tawar.							
Subject Taught : _	Fundamentals	of Food	Brience	and	Nubrition.		
Class: FYR	HSC	Div	/: 				

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 18-03-2022

Signature	Found
Olgitataro	

Name o	of the student Propi	n Probakar M	lagrup	hane
			7	3 2 61
Class _	FYRHSC	Roll No	36	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Meenal 2	awar		
Subject Taught: Fundamental	Food	science	& Nutrition
Class: FYBSc (Home Science) Div	:	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 18 | 03 | 2022

Name of the student Ghodke	Sneha	Novendra
Class F.Y.B.HS.C	_Roll No	23

Signature

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : _	Meenal	Zawan	- Mour		E X
Subject Taught : Fun	idamentals	of -	Food Scien	(F &	Nutrition
Class: + Y	BHSc.	Div	:		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5.
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

7 Overall impression of the teacher.

5

Date: 18 | 3 | 72

Signature

Name of the student Shrikir B. Aher

Class FY BHSC Roll No. 1



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: 31 19905 -202

Class: SYBA

Subject: APC II wasing wilder

Code: 46 5 2 0 4

1110	1440	4 4	1116,0				(-	The second			
Sr. No.	Question No.										
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	12			
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	5.	5	5	5	5	5	5				
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	10 y 14 1	100		
6											
7											
8						V 50 5	-,-		1		10 H
9											
10						4					
Total	24	25	25	25	25	25	25	-			
Average	4.8	5	5	5	5	5	5		100		
Remark	4.9	(Fx	reller	1							

Class: FYBA

Name of the Teacher: डॉ ट्री के खरे Subject:DC - The ट्रावशिपशीशी मरादी

Code: 245404

Sr. No.	Question No.										
51. 146.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1-0	11
1	4	4	3	5	4	3	4.				
2	4	5	4	5	3	4	4				
3	4	- 3	4	5	4	3	5				
4	5	4	4	3	5	4	3				
5	5	21	4	5	4	4	5		4		
6			,								
7											
8											
9											
10											
Total	22	20	19	23	20	18	21	11.6.0			
Average	4.4	4	3.8	4.6	4	3.6	4.2				
Remark	4.0	CVE		60d)		1					

Sign. of the Member / Incharge Q.C.C.

Dr. Sanish Dhanawa

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average
	-		-	1

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/

2/3/4 & 5	as the case may be	only are rigare if
Name of	the Teacher: ST Padas Sal	
Subject 7	Taught: APC — II स्थियांचे साहित्या	(465204)
Class : _	S4BA Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date :		
	Signature	ti
	Name of the student Soushti Attack	
5	Class S.YBA Roll N	lo. <u>02</u>

CO TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1	-
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	1

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher: 31 Agas zax	· · ·
Subject 7	Taught: APC — II TEZZII-U ZHIRETZI	(465204)
Class : _	SUBA Div:	en s
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5.
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	. 5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date :	Acor.	de
	Signature	nde
2	Name of the student Divya Do Class SYBA Roll N	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1 .
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average
2/3/4 & 5 as the ca	ested to write within the se may be			er, only the figure 1/
	APC -II		आहित्रा	(46 5284
Class:5		Div :	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Sr.No.	Δ	ttributes		Patina

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.05.
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	05
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	0.5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	05
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	05
6	Giving references for further reading	05
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	05

Date :	ų v		
		E a	Signature Stolkling
		Acc # W	Name of the student Shraddha Kalij
ec E	30		Class _ 5 \ B A Roll No 202

CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

51 Page

Mame of	the leacher:	
Subject 7	Faught: APC -II is-elsine Hilf-ET (4	65204)
Class : _	548 A Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.05
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	05
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	.05
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	0.5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	0.5
. 6	Giving references for further reading	05
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	05
Date :		
	Signature	akse
		× 8 2
	Name of the student Shweta Bo	IKSP
	Class SYBB Roll N	lo. <u>03</u>

CO STANDARD RAPIDA RAPI

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

	5	4	3 .	2	1 1	
Exc	xcellent Very Good Good Average Be					
/3/4 & 5	are request as the case the Teacher	er: 5	nin the brackets along	eside each serial num		
lass :_		SUBA	Div :			
Sr.No.	6		Attributes	an (2)	Rating	
1	Teaching Skills					
2	Interest g	05				
3	Availabili	ty of the teacher	rs in & out of the class	3	05	
4	Teaching project et	05				
5	Suggesti	ons for perform	ance improvement of	the student.	05	
6	Giving re	ferences for fur	ther reading	4.	65	
7	Overall in	npression of the	teacher.	3 1 18	05	
ate:				Signature	quita	
2 10		457	Name of the stud	ent <u>Ravifa</u>	Jadhav	
	90		SVI	AF		

COLOR DE LA SECUCIÓN DE LA SECUCIÓN

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Subject ⁻	raught: DC - TV czaelz 42) of 218	(245
Class :_	FUBA Div:	U is
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	3 "
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Name of the student	Rufuja	Deepale	Ahen
	, 7		*
Class fy.B.A		_Roll No. 148	201

CO TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher:	ट्रें के खरे		
Subject 7	Taught: DC -IV	ट्यवहार) परागि	नराही (24	54
Class:_	FYBA	Div:		
Sr.No.	A	ttributes	Rating	1
1	Teaching Skills		-4	1
2	Interest generated by the teach	er in the Subject	5	1
3	Availability of the teachers in &	out of the class	4	1

Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo,

Class Ly R.A

Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.

Giving references for further reading

Overall impression of the teacher.

Date: 23 | 03 | 2023

project etc.

5

6

7

	Signature —	7	
	8		
	¥	* .	8.0
Name of the student	Purva	upgesh	Pawar
		1 7	

5

Roll No. 14815



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher:	त्हां के खरे	
Subject 7	Taught: DC - IV	र शवहारीपत्रमा उ	12181 (245404)
Class:_	FYBA	Div :	
Sr.No.		Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills		4
2	Interest gamerated by the te	anahar in the Cubinet	0

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	3
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	3
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23/03/2023

Signature (00)

1	Name of the student	Popia	sachin	chaudhri
× 1				
	Class Fy R-A	>	Roll No	4804

Gokhale Education Society's S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Subject ⁻	Taught: DC-TV COMERINAIT.	H5181. (5	4,5404
Class : _	FYBA Div:		
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating	
1	Teaching Skills	5	
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4	
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4.	
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3	20.4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5	
. 6	Giving references for further reading	4	

Date: 23 |03 | 2013

Overall impression of the teacher.

Name of the Teacher:

		8.2
Name of the student	yaishnau? 129	ran Lewhate
	×.	
Class FY: BA	Roll No	14809

Signature

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

2/3/4 & 5	as the case may be	
Name of	the Teacher: ST ce of 201	· ·
Subject 7	Taught: DC - IV Quality Vello Pt	K181 (2
Class : _	FYBA Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4.
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date :		
	Signature Desd	es.
	Name of the student Desale Saula	sel hush
	9 8 8 <u>12 3 3 3 1</u>	10. M805



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / OCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: डॉ. सायली छात्यार्थ FYBA Class: Subject: मराठी न्वरित्र Code: 275204 CAC-II Ouestion No. Sr. No. 11 10 8 7 3 6 1 2 4 5 4 4 3 1 5 4 5 4 2 5 5 3 4 4 4 5 4 4 5 5 6 10 Total 22 22:23 24 21 22 4.4 4.8 4.4 46 .Average Pemark

2712108) अभवार्थ FYBA Class: Name of the Teacher:

Subject: TILIST TIRT (DC-III) Code: 245304

Sr. No.					Qı	nestion N	Vo.			,	
31. 110.	1	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	4	5	5	5.	*			
2	4	5	5	4	5	5	.4				
3	5	5.	4	15)	4	4	5				
4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5				_
5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5				
6											_
7											_
8											_
9	4										_
10											_
Total	24	24	24	22	23	24	24				
Average	4.8	4-8	4.8	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.5	-		_
Remark	4.7	(E	pecel	lent'		193he				ang who	-

Sign. of the Member / Incharge Q.C.C.

Control of the second of the s

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the	Teacher:		37 2	ाथला ?	भानार्थे.	
Subject Taug	ht :	AC	-11	415181	-परिथ	(275204)
Class :	FYC	3A	1 20	Div:		
C-N-						

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	3
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	. 5
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	3

Date: 23-03-2023

Signature _	S. R. Bhoi	2 5.
0.9.1213.0		

Name of the student	Shruti	Ravindra	Bhoi
4			7 5 2
Class F-y-B.	9	Roll No. 12	1847

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the	e Teacher : _	æ	51.	आग्ना	3114	ist.	-	
Subject Tau	ight:	AC-D		HSIST	42137	-41325	(275	204
Class:	FYBA			Div: -	* * *			3.
Sr.No.			Attr	ibutes	27 II		Rating	1

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	9
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4.
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5.

Date: 23/03/23

Signature

		1 - 1 - 11
Name o	f the student 800	cha Sadhal.
(*) 1	C. 00	
Class _	F9 BA	Roll No. 014860

(Q.)

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teac	her:	सायली आचारा	***
Subject Taught : _	AC -I	HRIET JARRET	(275204)
Class ;	FUBA	Div:	
			*
Sr.No.	2	Attributes	Rating

Sr.No.	Attributes	
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	24
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23/03/23

Signature

Name of the student sonali Do Hole

Class P. Y. B. A

Roll No.

4867

Name of the Teacher: _

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Subject ⁻	Taught: AC -II COLORFIENTEN -18181 -	elit 25 (2752
Class : _	FYBA Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4 "

Date: 23 /03 / 2023

Overall impression of the teacher.

	Toward .	8.167
Signature	- 10000	96
Signature		

1000	Name of the student	80010	layanam	Rough
		£.		
	Class _ fy. B	A	Roll No. F	14868

CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	डॉ	सायली	आन्यार्थ	
Subject Taught :	AC -II	418181	-alkar	(275204)
Class: FYE	3 A	Div :		7

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	9
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	. 5
6	Giving references for further reading	9 "
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date:

	Signatur	e chaitanya
	Name of the student	ान्य विसपुत
*	Class FY'RA	Roll No. 19897

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of t	he Teacher : .	Dr	Saya	li	Ad	nary.		
Subject Ta	aught:	D.C	TII	- 431	ही	चारिय	(24530	24)
Class : —	FYB	A		Div:		_		
Sr.No.			Attributes			T	Rating	7

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23 | 05 | 2023

		Signature _	Dealies	*
	Name of the stude	£	Santa Sa	Lesse
100	Class F. Y. B		Roll No	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher: 51 2-112 et 31-4121	
Subject 7	Taught: DC-III - 42187 1821 (2	145304)
	FYBA Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5

Date: 23 | 03 | 2023

Giving references for further reading

Overall impression of the teacher.

	Signature TopyA:	
		20 20
	Name of the student Purve Jogesh Parezer	
ü	Class T.V.B.A Roll No. 1401	5



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Tea	icher: 51	21121 6	अन्यार	
Subject Taught :	DC-11	1812H - I	-41127	(245304)
Class:	4BA	Div :		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4.
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5.
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23 03 2023

	3	8	
Name of the student	Ruhija	Dospala	Ahen
47. E	1		
Class Ty . B. A		Roll No1	1601

Signature .



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of th	e Teacher :	र्डी य	यानी ।	311-4121	
Subject Tau	ught: DC	-111	44181	-dR21	(245304)
Class :	FYBA		Div:		
Culle		8 44-11			T B 41

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	.5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23/03/2023

Name of the student P	ooja sachin chaudhri	
Class _ F. Y.B.A	Roll No. 14804	

Control of the same of the sam

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Class : _	FUBA Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	h
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
. 6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date : 2	3/03/2023 Signature Signature Name of the student Yalshnaul 18	oan Lauh



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No. 8 SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Scholya Admanbar Class: T. y 13 HSc

Subject: Consumer studies

Code: 9303

Sr. No.					Q	uestion	No.	1			
SI. NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	4	4	5	5	4	4				
2	4	H	3	4	4	4	5				
3	4	4	3	4	4	14	5				
4	4	4	5	4	3	4	4	9 900 S			8 3
5	5	4	3	3	14	5	3	10 to Ac.	100	10 m	
6		1, 444,	18. 7	100	1 7 100						
7			11. 44				1.0			18 g 34	W.A.,
8	HT3.TE	+ + 1/1/4			1. 10. 1		13 . 14	134			16
9		14400.7	2	lu El		1	1.79				e6 . 5
10	2	11.00	100	- 6 6		18				,,	
Total	21	20	18	20	20	21	21	2 -			
Average	4.2	4	3:6	4	4	4.2	42	11	28.2		
Remark	4.02	=	Va	y G100	d	- Ya		1 -			

Name of the Teacher: Sandkya Advanton Class: T. Y. BSC Subject: Recent Advances in Enteror Assign Gode: 0855

Sr. No.					Q	uestion	No.				
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5.	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	4	5	4	4:	5	· 4				
2	4	4	H	14	4	4	5				-
3	4	14:	4	4	1.4	4	4				
4	14	4	5	4	4	5	2		1 7		
5	14	3	4	5	4	3	14			2 150 1	_
6									1		
7	1				afi F			2			
8			19 25	e series							
9								il.			
10					DVZ. ii.l.		1 6		1.3		
Total	20	19	.22	21.	20	21	1.9	VI 2	1 - 7 -	2-7	
Average	4	3.8	4.4	4.2	4	4.2	3.8	577	28.4		
Remark	4.05	7 =	Vous	good	10 5		77.9	MARINE TO SERVICE TO S			1

Sign. of the Member / Incharge Q.C

Dr. Kmiðu kkrlgali

strawale

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	J	×	* X II am
Subject Taught : Pecent	Advance	in Interior	Design
Class: TU (BH3C)		Div:RM	1

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date: 24/03/23

		Signature	Ochotia		
Name o	of the student	Neha. J	· Chotia		
Class _	TYBHSC	ERMS	Roll No	01	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : 9 an	olhgia Ac	dmankan		
Subject Taught : Recent	Advance	in Interior	design	
Class: TY . BHSC		Div:	3M	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	- 4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	29
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 24/03/23

	Signature	Jay	
	Name of the student Adyushi	Shru'u	astav
2	Class TY (RM)	_Roll No	10.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Tead	ther: <u>Sam</u>	dhya A	dman	rkar	
Subject Taught :	Recent	Advance	In.	Interior	design.
Class:	.CBHSC		Div: _	RM	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	٠ 4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	- 5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	9
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date: 29/03/23

Sig	nature	MSall.	1	2
Name of the student	Mohini	sule		
Class Ty CRI	W	Roll No	8	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher: Sur	Jan 191	nakicai		200.5	
Subject	Taught: Recent	Advance	in intens	er derig	0	
Class : _	TY (BHEC)		Div :	RV4		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	- 5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	در
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	2
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	2

Date:	
	Signature - Foulty
	Name of the student Priya R. Jadhav
	Class TY BHSC (RM) Roll No. 03

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Subject T	TY (BHSC) Div:RM	esign
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	·4-
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	3
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	3
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

					0 10	5
Name of th	ne student	Aaka	nksha	R.	Pati	1
Class	TYB	Hsc	Roll No	o	7	

Signature .

Calif

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

100	TY BHSC Div: RM	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	-4.
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4.
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date: 24 |03 | 23

	5	ignature —	(100001101	
	7/			
Name o	of the student	Neha.	J. Cho	ha
Class _	TYBHSC	[RM]	Roll No	01.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Scholhyd	Adman	KUZ	
Subject Taught: Con Sumer	studies.		
Class: Ty . B Hsc	Div:	RM	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3.
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	F

Date: 24 03 25

Signature	us Seile	
Name of the student	sale	
Class Ty . CRM)	_Roll No	3

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Sandhya	Admankan		E E
Subject Taught : Consumen	<u>studies</u>		114
Class: TY BHSC	Div :	RM	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 24 03 23

Olg.	nature —			
Name of the student	layushi	Shrive	stav	
Class Ty. BHSC	RM	_Roll No	10,	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

lass:_	TY BHSC DIV: RM	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	3.
6	Giving references for further reading	9
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	9

Name of the student Rni

Class TY BHSC (RM)

___ Roll No. __03

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

class : _	TY. BHSC Div: RM	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	3.
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	3

Date:		100	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Street Street			4 1 4
			Signature

		*	5.		
Name of the student _	Aakanks	ha	R.	Patil	
Class TY (B	HSC)_R	Roll No	0	7	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No. 8 SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Sumatilal Bagado Class: SY. B. V. A

Subject: Prictorial Composition

Code: 4003

Sr. No.				e la la company	Qı	iestion]	No.	1			10 10 10
51. 140.	1	2 .	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	4	H	4	4	5	4			11	
2	14	5	A	H	5	4	4.				
3	5	H	4	4	5	5	5				
4	4	5	4	8	4	5	3	Con Salak	- o		
5	H	5	4	4	4	4	4		1000	2, 4	
6			10. 75			,	1 / ;		100	1 2	
7			5		100		27.CTiV				25,1
8	100			14 1	1,19	11.	(4), (A)	29, 1 0	98		1:
9	S .	1.0		ne lizeli	19.197	2.39	TEN.		The f	9 199	-
10	200	70. 5	7 1 -	4 25 25		1/4		100	4 6	,	
Total	22	23	20	19	22	23	20	2.			
Average	4.4	4.6	H	3.8	4.4	4.6	4	29.8		-	
Remark		-11	1	42			Very	Good	7.3		

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Gaurar Bharsas Subject: Indian Kulture & Art

Class : S.Y. B.U.A

Code: 4004

Sr. No.					Qı	estion l	No.	×			
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	li a t			
2	. 5	5	5	4	5	5	5				
3	5	5	.5	5	5	5	5.			The second	
4	5	5	4	H	5	5	5.		6 71		
5	1 4	5	5	5	h	5	5		25.5		
6		E								1	
7							No. 12				
8			0-1	7							
9	7.0				100		1		1 2 11		
10		4				2) 7/4	10	99	2		. 55
Total	24	25	24	23	24	25	25		5 F 2		
Average	4.8	5	4.8	714	4.8	5	5	34	Sections		*
Remark			J. State	4.8	NAME OF		Exie	lent.		1	

Sign. of the Member / Incharge Q.C.C.

Or. Satish Dhangway

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1 1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	aught: Pictorial Composition	
Class:_	8Y. B. V. A Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4

Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.

Giving references for further reading

Overall impression of the teacher.

Date: 23/03/2013

5

6

0:-		MININ	1 1 1
Sig	nature	*/ Jan	
Name of the student _	Mahak	Mongi	Crashad
C. M.M.	1 18	_Roll No	02
Class Oy'BVA			

Date: 23-03-2023

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Sunatilal Bagade
Subject Taught: Pictorial Composition

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5 ·
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4 .
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	. S
. 6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Signature

Roll No.

Name of the student Pratiksha Milind

SYBVA

Class

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	aught: Pictorial Composition	
	SY. BV.A Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	.4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4, ,
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
. 6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23 | 03 | 2023

	Signature	A.	
	-08		
Name of	the student Jaiswal	Maina	Asholz
			4
Class _	SY BYA	Roll No	04

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Sumatilal Bagade
Subject Taught: Pictorial Composition

Overall impression of the teacher.

	8 Y B V.A . Div:	Dellas
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3 .
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5

Date: 23 | 03 | 2023

Signature	2 1
Kura u	
Name of the student Recya Mahendara Joshi	
Name of the stations	184 w
Class Sy BA BVA Roll No. 05	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Sumatilal Bagade

Date: 23/3/2023

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4.
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4.
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Signature

Name of the student ______ Vaishnavi Vivek

Roll No.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	aught: Indian Culture & Aut.	
	SYBVA Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	. 5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
-	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5

Date: 23|03|2023

Giving references for further reading

C).	-neturo	Munio	
2)(gnature ——		
Name of the student _	Mahak	Manaj	Gabhad
Class S:Y. QV	Α	_Roll No	02

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	aught: Indian Rutture & Ast	
	SY. B. V. A Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	S.
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4, ,
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5

Date: 23-03-2023

Giving references for further reading

Signature _	- ST MANAY
. 48	
Name of the studentRatiksha	Milind Jagtap
ClassSYAVA	Roll No03

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	Taught: Indian Culture & Ant	
	< Y. B V A Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5.
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5

Date: 23 | 03 | 2023

6

Giving references for further reading

Ç:	gnature	Colonal	10 at 1
Si	griature		
Name of the student _	Jaiswall	Nama	Ashold
Class Sy BY A	3	Roll No	04.

CO.

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5,	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

	aught: Indian Kulture & Ant	
	8 Y. 8 V. A Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4.
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
E	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5

Date: 23/03/2023

Giving references for further reading

s	ignature	<u></u>		
	. ol			
Name of the student	Reeya	Mahendra	Jos	nĬ
Class Sy BVA	Fig.	Roll No.	05	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

	aught: Indian Culture & Ant	
- 1	SYBUA Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5, ,
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4

Date: 23/3/2023

Giving references for further reading

Overall impression of the teacher.

5

6

	Nama	of the student	Voushnavi	vivek	Tedano
	Name C	if the student			
•	Class _	SYBVA	Roll	No. 12	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Mrs. Vaishali Gai Kwad Class: SYBA

Subject: DC-III-Women's Issue in India Code: 465711

C. M.					Qı	estion N	No.				
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1	9	3	3	4	3	4				
2	4	4	9	3	1	4	4				
3	5	5	4	5	4	5.	5				
4	1	4	5	3	4	4	4				
5	4	5	4	13	5	9	4				-
6	1										
7				L.,							-
5				1	ļ	·					
9			12		, ,						-
10											_
Toul	21	21	20	:17	20	21	21		-	ļ	
Average	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.4	4.0	4.2	4.2				
Remark	4.0	1 1	em ((Lood			<u> </u>			ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	

Name of the Teacher:

Class:

Subject:

Code:

C- No					Qı	uestion l	No.		-		
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1
1						7.	, ·				_
2							2. 1				
3											
4											_
5									-		_
6											_
7											-
8											-
9										-	-
10		· ·									-
Total							-				-
Average										1	-
Remark										110	<u></u>

Sign. of the Member/Incharge Q Manisha Joshi) Dv. San

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

	Faught: DC-III Women's Issues in In	dia (44)
lass:_	34BA Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	. 4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4 ".
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4
Date :	J'A	MOTE
	Name of the studentR.	5

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

. 1 . 1 . 0 .

Class : _	SYBA Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	ч
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	ч
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	ч
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
. 6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4.
Date :	Signature	ino
	Olgrididi —————	E 0
	Name of the student NIKITA 0. SA	20010

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Class : _	54BA Div:	da (445
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date :	Signature	Korle

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

11 :1- 0:

Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.

Giving references for further reading

Overall impression of the teacher.

Name of	the Teacher: Valswell Clarkwan	
Subject [*]	Taught: DC-VII Women's Issues in Ind	ia (445711)
	SYBA Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	6
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo,	3

Date: 21-03-2023

5

6

S	ignature	Byggas	
Name of the student _	Aosa	Say	jed
Class S.Y.B. A		Roll No	59

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4


SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Subject T	Faught: DC - VII WOMEN'S ISSUES IN	India (
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.4.
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3.
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4
Date :	Signature <u>இவுய</u>	.11<
		kane





S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Vuishali Gaikwad Class: TYBA

Subject: APC-IV Sociology of Tourism Code: 665411

Sr. No.	. I'll I'l	Question No.									
SI. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	4	5	4	4	5	4				
2	5	4	4.	5	4	4	5			1 1 1	
3	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	97			
4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	V ay	1 A		
5	5	5	14	5	4	5	4		12.00	2 Hr =	1
6			10 10	12/1/20	1 mil 1	J. 10.				1000	ж 3
7				1 6	3,						11 7
8		1000	13.5					300		100	
9	1 3 1 2	1.75	F. Car	- 1		100,100			111	1 0	
10	Last	100	regard to	0.550A		14	1.5 18 1	A 4		17	100
Total	25	23	21	23	21	23	22		-		
Average	3.0	4.6	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.6	404				
Remark -	4.5	(Fa	celler	14)	No.	1 1	W-				

Name of the Teacher:

Class:

Subject:

Code:

Sr. No.	Question No.										
31. 140.	1	2	3	4 -	5.	6	7	8	9	10	13
1									No.		
2		S (2) A.	4 Jul 10		Jan I .	165.11	77				
3		30.1									
4		5							12.5		
5					2 1			- S-			
6	A 195	77				A 1.5				7	
7							1.15				
8		Ju 0 11					1 1	1 1			
9								8 11	1 . 9		
10	199			F. 9	F 19						
Total						77 17	Long h		F Air		
Average	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			-70 5		18				0.0	
Remark	2.47		4.4			7	1998	24 1 2 3			

Sign. of the Member / Incharge Q.C.C.

(Manislu Joshi)

Dr. Sansh Dhanawade

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Tea	cher: Vais	hedi Gra	ikwad	
Subject Taught:	APC-IV	sociology	of Tourism	(665411)
Class:	TYBA	E	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date: 21-03-2023

Signatur	e Pajkanny	q
Name of the student Pajko	annya. A. [Daynd
Class TYBA	Roll No	29

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : _		Vaish	ali Ga	ik wad	2 10	
Subject Ta	ught:	pc - TV	Sociolo	ogy of	Tourism	(0645401)
Class:	TYBA		Div :			

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 21-03-2023

	Signature	wa.
	Name of the student DUE get	nateri mahule
8	Class TYBA	Roll No. 36

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teache	er:V	aishedi	Graik	wad	
Subject Taught :	Apc.	-W	socidogy	of Tourism	(665411)
Class:	TYBA		Div: _		
S-No.		Attelle	urtos		Pating

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4.
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date:											
						9		380	0	17	

	Signature _	Strayskay	
	Name of the student Shouti	Rajenda Baviskap	1 .
534)	Class T. y. B. A.	Roll No8	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

	the Teacher: Voishall brouk wad	
Subject 7	Taught: APC - TV Socilegy of Tourism	(665411)
Class : _	748 A Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5.
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
. 6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4
Date :		
	Signature Sind	hu
		# a
	Name of the student Sindhu Pandu	Rung Jale
3	Class T.Y.B.A Roll I	No. 32

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

	the Teacher: Vaishali Gait wad	
Subject T	TYBA Div:	m (6654)
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	. 4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4
Date :		79
	Signature	1/000 -
	Name of the student Swafnau	Nalk
	Class T. N. B. A. Roll	No. 97

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02 Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - Home Science

Name of Teacher - Mrs. Sandhya Admankar

Class - T.Y.B.HSc.

Subject - Recent Advances in Interior Designing Pr. Code -

		Question No.												
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7							
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5							
2	5	5	4	4	5	5	5							
3	4	5	4	5	4	5	5							
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5							
5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5							
6							ji.							
7														
8														
9														
10														
Total	23	24	23	24	24	24	25							
Avg	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	5	33.4						
Rem	nark	4.771429				Excellent								

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

ery Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average 0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Signature of Q.C.C. Incharge

SMRK-BK-AK Mahila Mahavidyalaya Nasik-422 005.

Y. S. Kelkar

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02 Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - Home Science

Name of Teacher - Mrs. Mrunalini Loni

Class - T.Y.B.HSc.

Subject -Residential Planning & Detailing Pr.

Code -

		Question No.											
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7						
1	5	5	5	4	5	4	5						
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
3	4	5	4	5	4	4	5						
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5						
6													
7													
8													
9													
10													
Total	24	25	24	23	24	23	25						
Avg	4.8	5	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6	5	33.6					
	nark	4.8				Excellent							

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02 Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - Home Science

Name of Teacher - Ar. Sanjay Pabari

Class - T.Y.B.HSc.

Subject - Residential Planning & Detailing Pr.

Code -

	Question No.												
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7						
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
3	5	5	4	5	4	4	5						
4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5						
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
6													
7													
8													
9													
10													
Total	25	24	24	25	24	24	25						
Avg	5	4.8	4.8	5	4.8	4.8	5	34.2					
Rem	nark	4.885714				Excellent							

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

ery Good

Good

3.5 to 4.49

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average 0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Y.S. Kukar

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02 Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - Home Science

Name of Teacher - Ar. Sanjay Pabari

Class - T.Y.B.HSc.

Subject - Interior Designing Th.

Code -

Subject -	interior D	esigning in.		C	Jue -						
	Question No.										
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
3	5	4	4	5	5	4	5				
4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5				
5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5				
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
Total	25	24	24	23	25	23	25				
Avg	5	4.8	4.8	4.6	5	4.6	5	33.8			
Rem	nark	4.828571				Excellent					

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02 Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - Home Science

Name of Teacher - Ar. Sanjay Pabari

Class - T.Y.B.HSc.

Subject - Building Construction Pr.

Code -

				Questic	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	
3	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	
4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	
5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
Total	25	24	23	23	24	24	25	
Avg	5	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.8	5	33.6
	nark 4.8 Excellent							

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

ery Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average 0 to 1.49

Y.S. Kelkar

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Name of 1	Teacher - M	rs. Mrunali	ni Loni	C	ass - T.Y.B.H	HSc.	
	nterior Des			C	ode -		
				Questio	n No.		
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
3	4	4	5	4	5	5	5
		4	4	Е	E .	5	5

1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	
4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	
5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	
6								
7								
8								
9								
10			100					
Total	23	22	24	24	24	24	25	
Avg	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	5	33.2
	emark	4.742857		Excellent				

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers Faculty - Home Science

Name of	Teacher - M	rs. Mrunali	ni Loni	Cl	ass - T.Y.B.I	HSc.				
	Advanced A			Co	ode -					
	Question No.									
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			
2	5	5	5	5	5	4	5			
3	4	4	5	5	5	4	5			
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			
5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5			
6										
7										
8										
9										

Rem	nark	4.857143				Excellent	t	
Avg	4.8	4.8	4.8	5	5	4.6	5	34
Total	24	24	24	25	25	23	25	
10					7,200			
9								
8								
7								
6								
5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	
0780		1000					17000	

Remark Criteria

4.5 &above Excellent

3.5 to 4.49 ery Good

2.5 to 3.49 Good 1.5 to 2.49 Average

Below Average 0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - HOME SCIENCE FACULTY

Name of Teacher - Ms. Shubhada Deshpande

Class - S.Y..B.Sc.

Subject - Nutrition for Life Span(Pr.)

				Questic	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	5	5	5	5	5		7	8
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	5	5	5	5		5	5	
4	5	5	5		5	5	5	
5	5	5		5	5	5	5	
6	3	2	5	5	5	5	5	
7								
8								
9								
10								
otal	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
vg	5	5	5	5	5		25	
Rema	ırk	5		3		5 Excellent	5	35

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02 Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - HOME SCIENCE FACULTY

Name of Teacher - Dr. Manjusha Bhakay

Class - S.Y..B.Sc.

Subject - Nutrition For Life Span Pr.

				Questio	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	5	5	5	5	5	5		
2	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
6					-	3	5	
7								
8								
9								
10					_			
otal	24	25	25	25	25	24	25	
Avg	4.8	5	5	5	5	4.8	5	34.6
Rema						34.0		

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

ery Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average 0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - HOME SCIENCE FACULTY

Name of Teacher - Ms. Meenal Zawar

Class - S.Y..B.Sc.

Subject - Nutrition For Life Span (Pr)

Code -

	Charles I Conserved	Tot zire opair	()		Juc			
				Questio	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	
2	3	3	4	5	3	5	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	
5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
Total	22	21	23	25	22	23	24	
Avg	4.4	4.2	4.6	5	4.4	4.6	4.8	32
Re	mark 4.571429 Excellent							

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - HOME SCIENCE FACULTY

Name of Teacher - Ms. Manjiri Joshi

Class - S.Y..B.Sc.

Subject - Nutrition For Life Span (Pr)

Code -

Jubject -	Nutrition	roi Life Spair	(11)		oue -			
				Questic	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	
2	4	5	5	4	5	3	5	
3	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	
4	4	5	4		5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
Total	22	24	23	19	24	21	25	
Avg	4.4	4.8	4.6	3.8	4.8	4.2	5	31.6
	nark 4.514286 Excellent							

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

ery Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

Average

2.5 to 3.49

Below Average 0 to 1.49

1.5 to 2.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Incharge

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - HOME SCIENCE FACULTY

Name of Teacher - Ms. Sandhya Admankar

Class - S.Y..B.Sc.

Subject - Consumer Studies(Th)

Code -

Subject -	Consumer	Studies(III)		C	Jue -			
				Questio	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	
2	3	4	4	3	5	4	4	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
Total	21	21	21	21	23	21	21	
Avg	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.2	29.8
	nark 4.257143 Very Good							

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

ery Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average 0 to 1.49

Butaras Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - HOME SCIENCE FACULTY

Name of Teacher - Ms. Priyadarshani Kulkarni

Class - S.Y., B.Sc.

Subject - Family Dynamics(Th).

Code -

Jubjece	arring by	mannes(111).		0.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
				Questio	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	
2	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	3	4	4	4	5	5	4	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
Total	22	23	24	23	25	23	23	
Avg	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.6	5	4.6	4.6	32.6
Rem	nark 4.657143 Excellent							

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - HOME SCIENCE FACULTY

Name of Teacher - Ms. Priyadarshani Kulkarni .

Class - S.Y..B.Sc.

Subject - Family Dynamics (Pr.)

Code -

				Questio	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	
2	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
Total	25	25	24	24	24	24	23	
Avg	5	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	33.8
Rem	nark 4.828571 Excellent							

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

ery Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

3.5 (0 1.15

Average

2.5 to 3.49

Below Average 0 to 1.49

1.5 to 2.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Incharge

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Projenno

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - HOME SCIENCE FACULTY

Name of Teacher - Dr. Kavita Patil

Class - S.Y., B.Sc.

Subject - Fabric Ornamentation and accessory

Code -

	Question No.												
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8					
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
6													
7													
8													
9													
10													
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25						
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35					
Rem	ark	5				Excellent							

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02 Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - HOME SCIENCE FACULTY

Name of Teacher - Ms. Pradnya Abhyankar

Class - S.Y..B.Sc.

Subject - Fabric Ornamentation and accessory Design(Code -

	Question No.											
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7					
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5					
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5					
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5					
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5					
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5					
6												
7												
8												
Si												
10												
Total	2,5	25	25	25	25	25	25					
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35				
Ren	nark	5				Excellent						

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

ery Good

Good

3.5 to 4.49

Average

2.5 to 3.49

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average 0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - HOME SCIENCE FACULTY

Name of Teacher - Mrs. Trupti Dhoka

Class - S.Y.,B.Sc.

Subject - Fabric Ornamentation and accessory Design(Code -

	Question No.												
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8					
1	5	5	5	4	4	5	5						
2	5	5	4	5	5	5	5						
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
5	7,	3	4	4	3	2	4						
6													
7													
8													
2													
317													
Total	24	23	23	23	22	22	24						
Av	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.8	32.2					
Rem	iark .	4.6				Excellent							

ery Good

3.5 to 4.49

Goot

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

I hw Average 0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

BVA.



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teac	her: Ma													
Subject: Comp														
Sr. No.		Question No.												
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11			
1	3	3	3	2	3	3	4							
2	4	4	5	3	14	4	5	24						
3	4	5	5	4	4	4	5							
4	4	4	4	3	4	3	4				Ca.			
5	4	4	3	4	124	4	4	100						
6														
7							1 .							
8				-		1								
9														
10						15								
Total	19	20	20	16	19	18	282	-						
Average	3.8	4	4	3.2	3.8	3.6	4.4		- 4					
Remark	2.0				0.00		100				The same of the sa			

Class: TY

Name of the Teacher: Mr. S Bagul Subject: Full Figure Drawing & Painting

Code:

Sr. No.	Question No.											
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	13	
1	.3	3	3	2	3	3	4					
2	4	4	5	3	4	4	5					
3	4	5.	5	4	5	4	5					
4	4	4	4.	4	4	3	4					
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5					
6												
7												
8	And and											
9											_	
10		Ä										
Total	20	21	22	18	21	18	23					
Average	4	4.2	4.4	3.6	4.2	3.6	4.6					
Remark	4.0	8	Ve	Ru 1	Good						1	

Sign. of the Member / Incharge Q.C.C.



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No. 8 SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Tead	cher : Me	S	Jadh	an		Cla	ss: S	9 BN	A		
Name of the Teac Subject: Still	life Ren	rderi	ng el	endi	ng	Cod	de:			10.1	
Sr. No.			0			uestion l	No.				4
SI. NO.	1	2	3	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	(5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	- '			
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		THUS.		
4	5	4	4	4	4	L	4				-
5	3 9 7 1 2	,	1			- 12		* 3 20	10.00		
6							1		16		
7		Y 2		-0			1	1			
8		0.00						w s			
9		. TES	3 " "		1 4 8			21 1 6			
10		1.59	2 6	1 4		- 1					
Total	19	18	18	18	18	18	18	E 3			
Average	4.7	4.5	4:5	4.5	4:5		4.5				1 2 4
Domark	1.50					15.	11 - 4				

Name of the Teacher: Hor S Jadhav

Class: FY BVA

Subject: Drawing from Human fig/ Antic

Code:

Sr No			131.25		Qı	estion l	No.			,	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5.	5	5	5	5	5.				
2	4	4	- 3	5	4	5	4		1,510	100.361	
. 3	5	5	4	4	5	4	5				
4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4			1	
5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5				
6		g E			1 1 1			9 7 7	X LL		
7			6 . 0								
8					18						
9						4					
10				1							
Total	23	22	22	23	23	23	23			L. I.,	
Average	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6			01 -0	
Remark	4.5	1	Exc	ellent			1970/19			100	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

Name of the Teach Subject: Head	ner: Mr Study P	S J lender	tadha	Paint	ing	Cla	ss : 3 le :	Υ		5 1 H	(A)
Sr. No.					Qı	uestion l	No.		7		, 4
Si. 140.	1	2	3	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				,
2	4	5	5	4	4	4	3				
3	5	5	5	5	5	.5	5				
4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5				True2
5	5	.5	5	5	5	5	5		11 17		
6							10 TH				
7		PE VAL	les .	- 1	3 1			7.5			- 3
8					14 , 1				× '	1 - 3	
9	1 700			100	1 2 5		2.7				-
10		18				**	id jer				
Total	23	24	25	24	214	24	23	T.			
Average	4.6	4.8	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6		100		
				-	100	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN				1	

Name of the Teacher:

Class:

Subject:

Code:

Sr. No.					Q	uestion l	No.				
51. 110.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1						11 10	7		11		
2				- 1 3		- 6	11				
3					Igaz e les						
4	11. 3						1				
5									71		
6		¥			X				1 10		
7	1 1 1					1.					
8											
9											
10 .		THE E	0-1								
Total					lg ==a					1	
Average		1. "-		a di		(X =		- yn 9		, us w	
Remark			1 5 5		J P XT						

Me dele



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Mr D Aher Subject: History of Western Art Class: SY BNA

Code:

doject. Tustony	of a	resiev	AC			Coc					
Sr. No.	1				Q	uestion N	No.				
Si. 1vo.	1	2	3	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	4	5	5	5	5	5				
2	\$4	45	4	4	4	4	4				
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4				-
5						-	4711			7.13	
6	1	2.53					7.	II .		-	
7					and the same						M. Fall
8				TA I	144	J- 2-		40		1.5.	
9	20	- 1 5 8		- 4	70.00						
10	100					-1-1	Q.	11 6			164
Total	19	18	18.	18	18	18	18		-		
Average	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4:5	45				1
Remark	41	52				lent					

Name of the Teacher: Ma D Aher

Class: TY BVA

Subject: History of Western Art

Code:

Sr. No.					Q	uestion l	No.				
31. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	4	3	4	3	5	4.				
2	4	3	3	4	4	4	4			11 1	
3	4	4.	5	5	4	4	4		la Para		
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4				
5	5	4	4	4	5	5	4		-		
6			10.3			R					
7											
8											
9										931	
10		8.7									
Total	21	19	19	21	20	22	20		-		
Average	4.2	3.8	3.8	4.2	4	4.4	4			p. 75 - 1 - 1	
Remark	4.0				Vegi	Cas	od.		mi.		



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teac Subject: 2 D	cher: Mus Design		chana	Sono	wne	Cla	29/19/19/2	YB	VA		
Sr. No.	0				Q	uestion l	No.				4
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	4	5	4	4	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			L HA	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	11 3	1	1.77	
6			N. Y.				No.			1	
7		1.0								T	1 - 2-7
8			1.7		1	21 10				1 - 2	
9						1 1					, i
10				1		*	9				1
Total	. 25	25	24	25	24	24	25	9 1			
Average	5	5	4.8	5	4.8	4.8	5				1
Remark	4.30				1	Vos		- on			

Name of the Teacher:

Class:

Subject:

Code:

Sr. No.					Q	uestion l	No.				
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1						1 1	7:00		0		
2					123	X MINE	1 To 1 Be			10.16	
3							10		1		
4	*					1					
5						-					
6											
7		-									
8											
9											
10		1 2	3								
Total					908		P 1		-		
Average	-			ta n							
Remark	77 1				= 2 (%)	100					



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher : Ms	Archana Sonavne	Class:	final	P
Subject : Gast - Porist		Code .		

ject: Weath	refaint	ng				Coc	ie.			54	
Sr. No.		-0	72.170		Qı	estion l	Vo.				
SI. NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	4	3	3	4	4	4				
2	1 4	ら	4	5	5	4	4				
3	5	5	5	5	5.	5	5				
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
5	4	4	3	5	3'	4	4				
6		·				,					
7								ALS:			
8											
9	71										
10						- 4					
Total	22	23	20	23	22	22	22	11			
Average	4.4	4.6	4	4.6	4:4	4.4	4.4				
Remark	14.15		100	0.	- 1				1		

Class: FY BVA Name of the Teacher: Mrs Archana Sonalne

Subject: 3 D Design Code:

Sr. No.					Qı	estion l	Vo.				
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	29	4	4	4	3	4				
2	4	LP	4	4	4	4	4				
3	4	4.	4	5	5	5	5				
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
5	.5	5	5	5	5	5	5		00		
6	- 20										
7											
8											
9											
10											
Total	22	22	22	23	23	22	23				
Average	44	4-4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6				
Remark	3.91	3	PLAT	d.							



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No. 8 SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

Name of the Tead Subject: Austl	cher: Ma valic Wes	D\ eteen	Aher & In	ndian	~	Clas		inal Y	n BV	A	
Sr. No.			1			uestion N	Vo.				
SI. NO.	1	2	3	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	2.4			
2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4				
3	4	3	13	4	4	3	4				
4	3	3	3	4	4	4	3				
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		76 9		ela" .
6		1			V				•	74	
7											
. 8					9 1 1			100			-
9	100			100	1200	100					
10		100	45.0			- 4					
Total	20	3.0						8 -			
Average					1			- "			
		-			-	1				1	1

Name of the Teacher: Mr D Aher

Class: Final Ya BVA

Subject: Dissertation

Code:

Sr. No.					Q	uestion l	No.				
31. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	4	4	5	3	3	4.				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			35.25	
3	. 5	5.	5	5	5	5	5				
4	5	4	4	5	4	14	4				
5					100				10		
6		IBE			= -						
7											
8						1					
9											
10		F.			X 55 .7						
Total	25	19	18	20	17	17	18				
Average	5	3.8	3.6	4	3-4	3.4	3.6				
Remark	3.8			ood		17.81					



Average

Remark

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

Class: Final & BVA Name of the Teacher: Mr Gaussau Bhansau Subject: Indian Culture & best Code: Ouestion No. Sr. No. Total

4.5

Name of the Teacher: Mr Gausay Bransar

3.94

4.5

Class: SY BVA

Subject: History of Western Art

Code:

Sr. No.					Qı	uestion l	No.		91		
51.110.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	4	5	5	5	5	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	15		No.	
3	5	4.	4	5	5	5	4			-	
4	4	4	4	4.	4	4	4				
5	4	3	4	3	3	4	4				
6			1			5 L			1		
7			100								
8	4										
9								`			
10										100 100 100	
Total	23	20	22	22	22	23	22	- Sill y	14 / 14		
Average	4.6	.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4				
Remark	4.4		Vee	. e	ood		19 7 19	1 1	LEDIL		



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No. 8 SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Gaurav Bhausar

Class: SY BAV

Subject: Indian Culture & det

Code:

Sr. No.					Q	uestion l	No.		VII		
SI. INO.	1	2	3	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	4	4	5	5	5				
2	4	4	5	5	5	5	5				
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		12		
5	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	1.00			
6	and ye		12 ·						1		
7							1/2				-
8		Le of	- 11 17					a d _e la			
9								- 1			
10						. A.	oïa l	Na.			
Total	22	22	22	21	22	22	23	19, 70			
Average	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.6				
Remark	4.4	1	Ve	my e	rood		70 11	-1			

Name of the Teacher: Mr Gawan Bhansas

Class: F.YBVA

Subject: Indian Culture & Art

Code:

Sr. No.					Qı	uestion l	No.				
51. 140.	1	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4.				
2	5	4	4	5	5	4	5				
3	. 4	4.	5	4	3	3	4	30.5			
4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3		7.1		
5	3	3	3	3	3	4	4				
6				.= (10		14		
7				1 0 2		***					
8				- 5							
9											
10											
Total	19	19	19	20	18	19	20	113			
Average	3.8	3.8	3.8	4	3.6	3.8	4			1.11.2	
Remark	4.3	37	26 11	Ver	Go	od	17.0				



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Mr Sumatilal Bagde Class: TY BVA

Subject: Meral Design Code:

Sr. No.		3		·	Qı	estion	No.				
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4				
2	3	3	3	3	2	3	3				
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4				
5	1 4	4	4	4	4	4	4				-
6							•				
7,				h I							
3	1 1										
9										*	
10						-8					1.7
Total	20	20	20	19	19	20	26				
Average	4	4	4	3.8	3-8	4	14	ĺ			1
Remark	328	3.91		The second second second	bood		ì				

Name of the Teacher: Mr Sumatulal Bajde Subject: Mural Design Class: Final Yr BVA

Code:

Sr. No.		761			Qı	uestion l	Vo.				
51. 140.	1	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	15	5	5	5	4	4	5				
3	5	5.	4	5	4	5	4				
4	14	4	3	5	4	3	4				
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											2000
10											
Total	19	19	17	20	17	17	18				
Average	4.7	4.7	4.2	5	4.2	4.2	4.5				
Remark	4.5		1								



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teach Subject: Pictori	ner: Mr al Des	Sum	atilal	Bago	de	Cla	ss : _cv de :	BUF	A		*
Sr. No.		0				uestion l	No.				
St. 140.	1	2	3	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	4	4	5	5	5	5				
2	3	2	3	2	2	2_	3				1
3	4	4	4	14	5	5	4				
4	3	3	.3	2	2	2	3				
5	-					-	7.4			31	
6		(0)									
7							1				
8									-		
9		P E 1	4		195 2 5						
10		1				- 1				I P	172311
Total	15	13	14	14	15	14	15	*			
Average	3.7	3.2		3.5	3.7	3.5	3.7		163		A
Remark	3.54			ood					71	J	

Name of the Teacher: Mr Sumatilal Bagde

Class: F'Y

Subject: 3 D Design

Code:

Sr. No.		111			Q	uestion	No.				
51. 110.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
-1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.		-1		
2	4	5	4	5	4	5	4				
3	. 5	4.	4	4	124	4	5				
4					JD		1				
5									100	*	
6											
7							1				
8						1					
9											
10		1									
Total	14	14	B	13.	13	14	14				
Average			1								
Remark			7			-					



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

Name of the Tead	cher: Hec	S	Bagn	l		Clas	ss: Fir	al Yr			101
Name of the Tead Subject: Potra	it Par	ntin	2			Cod	le:				
Sr. No.					Q	uestion l	Vo.				h h
S1. NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	14	.5	5	4	5	4	4				
3	5	4	4	LÞ	5	5	4			7.	
4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		-		
5							100				
6						,					
7											
8						1					
9							1				-
10	17	17	17	16	18	17 "	16				V
Total	4.2	4.2	4.2	4	4.5	4.2	4				
Average	4.18			Ve	y Cro	d			I A	1	
Remark					00	1					

Name of the Teacher:

Class:

Subject:

Code:

Sr. No.					Qı	uestion l	No.				
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1						х :	9 (4)				
2		11.2									
3			-								
4											
5											
6											
7								L.			
8											
9					2						
10											
Total											
Average					10.00						
Remark											

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Satish Dhanawade

Class - FYBA

Subject - 275211 - A.C.-II-Sociology-Social Problems in India

				Questic	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	-5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7				Of I				
8								
9						74		_
10								
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	- 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
	mark	5				Excellent		

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Satish Dhanawade

Class - SYBA

Subject - 430600 FC-VI-Current Social Issues and Problems

				Questic	n No.			
Sr. No.	1 .	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	. 5	4	5	5	
3	5	5	. 5	5	5	5	5	7
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7		AX III			W			
8						11.	140	
. 9						16		
10								
Total	25	25	25	25	24	25	25	Manner (n. W.)
Avg	5	5	5	. 5	4.8	5	5	34.8
	nark	4.97143			Tan	Excellent		

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average

0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Ms. Chitra Salunke

Class - FYBA

Subject - 230300 - FC-III-Personality Development [Compulsory]

-	230300 - 1			Questic	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	- 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	T. Company
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7								
8								
9		6					- 1	
10								
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	12.2
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
	nark	5		Excellent				

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Vyankat Kamble

Class - FYBA

Subject - 230400 FC-IV-Environmental Studies [Compulsory]

v .		•		Questic	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7	598							
8								
9								
10							5000	
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
	nark	5				Excellent	,	

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average

0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Vyankat Kamble

Class - SYBA

Subject - 465211 APC-Sociology-II-Media Culture & Society

				Questio	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	5	5	5	5	. 5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	-
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	
. 6								
7				1				
8								
9				14				
10	8							
Total	21	21	22	21	21	21	21	
Avg	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	29.6
	nark	4.22857			-	Very Good		

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Ms. Chitra Salunke

Class - SYBA

Subject - 475411 A.C.-IV- Women's Issues In India

		-		Questic	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	5	5	5	5	5	. 5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7			5	5.0				
8				7				
9				- 60				
10								
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
	nark	5				Excellent		

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average

0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Satish Dhanawade

Class - TYBA

Subject - 646511 D.C.-XV-Social Movements in India

				Questio	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	- 5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	- 5	5	
.5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7								
8				×		,		
9								
10								
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
	nark	5 Excellent						

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Vyankat Kamble

Class - TYBA

Subject - 646611 D.C-XVI- Sociology of Marginalized Groups

				Questio	on No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	.5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	5	5	5	. 5	5	5	5	F
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7								
8		0			24			
9			×					
10								
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
	mark 5 Excellent							

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good Good 3.5 to 4.49

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average 0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Satish Dhanawade

Class - FYBA

Subject - 245311 D.C.-III-Sociology-Social Problems in India

				Question	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
. 3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	, 5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7								
8								
9								
10				XX.				
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
Rem	ark	5			Е	xcellent		

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Vyankat Kamble

Class - FYBA

Subject - 245411 D.C.-IV-Sociology-Introductions to Sociology

W.				Question	No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	¥.
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7								
8								
. 9								
10								
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
Rema	ark	5			E	xcellent	11.	

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average

0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Ms. Chitra Salunke

Class - SYBA

Subject - 445711 D.C.-VII-Sociology- Women's Issues In India

				Question	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
- 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
. 7								
8								
9		- 1						
10						.*		
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	. 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
Rem	ark	5			E	xcellent		

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Ms. Chitra Salunke

Class - SYBA

Subject - 445811 D.C.-VIII-Sociology-Urban Society: Problems & Prospects

				Question	n No.	-17		
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	5	- 5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	- 5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	. 5	5	5	5	
6								
7								
8							(a)	
9				191				
10		To the					20	L ⁽¹⁾
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
	mark 5 Excellent							

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average

0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Vyankat Kamble

Class - TYBA

Subject - 646311 D.C-XIII-Contemporary Sociological Theorists

	72			Question	No.	2					
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
5	5	5	. 5	5	5	5	5				
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25				
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35			
	ark	5			E	emark 5 Excellent					

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Satish Dhanawade

Class - TYBA

Subject - 646411 D.C.-XIV-Methodology in Social Research

				Question	No.			-
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	-
6		30						
7						2		
8								
9								
10								
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
Rem	ark	5			E	xcellent		

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average

0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher - Dr. Satish Dhanawade

Class - TYBA

Subject - 665411 APC-IV-Sociology of Tourism

1				Question	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	21
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6								
7								
- 8								
9								
10								
Total	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Avg	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35
Rem	ark	5			E	xcellent		

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average

0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher -Dr. Chetan Deshmane

Class - FYBA

Subject - 215201- CC English (HL) Empowering English II

		Just	.(- 213201	Questic)
Sr. No.	1 .	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	2	3	3	2	2	2	1	
4	4	5	4	4	5	3	5	
5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	
6								
7								
8								
. 9								
10								
Total	21	21	19	21	21	20	20	
Avg	4.2	4.2	3.8	4.2	4.2	4	4	28.6
Ren	nark	4.08571		Very Good				

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher -Dr. Chetan Deshmane

Class - FYBA

Subject - 255201 CC English (LL) Exploring English

				Questic	on No.			h h
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	
2	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	
3	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	
4	4	5	4	5	5	. 5	5	
5	1	4	4	2	2	2	2	
6								
7								
8								
9		2						
10								
Total	19	21	23	22	21	21	21	
Avg	3.8	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	29.6
	nark	4.22857				Very Good		

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good

Good

3.5 to 4.49

Average

2.5 to 3.49 1.5 to 2.49

Below Average

0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

G.E. Society's S.M.R.K.B.K.A.K. Mahavidyalaya Nashik - 05 Document No. 8 - SMRK-BK-AK/QCC/ACA/Staff /02

Analysis Sheet - Feedback of Teachers

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher -Dr. Chetan Deshmane

Class - SYBA

Subject - 455401 CC English (LL) Advancing with English

				Question	No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
3	3	3	3	5	2	5	5	
4	4	4	5	3	3	5	5	
5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	
6			8				i i	
7			1					
8								
9								
10								
Total	21	21	23	23	19	24	24	
Avg	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.6	3.8	4.8	4.8	31
Remark 4.42857					Ve	ery Good		

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher -Dr. Chetan Deshmane

Class - SYBA

Subject - 415401 CC English (HL) Professional English Paper II

				Question	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	
2	4	4	4	. 4	4	4	3	
3	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
6						17		E.
7								
8								
9								
10						25 11		
Total	23	24	24	23	23	24	22	
Avg	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.4	32.6
Rem	Remark 4.65714				E	xcellent		

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average

0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher -Dr. Chetan Deshmane

Class - TYBA

Subject - 615601 CC English (HL) Academic English for Advanced Learners

				Questic	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	
2	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	
3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
4	4	3	3	3	3	2	5	
5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
6					w .			
7	- V-					24		
8		10						
9	8							
10								
Total	21	19	19	20	20	19	22	
Avg	4.2	3.8	3.8	4	4	3.8	4.4	28
	nark	4		Very Good				

Faculty - Arts

Name of Teacher -Dr. Chetan Deshmane

Class - TYBA

Subject - 655601 CC English (LL) English for Success

21				Questio	n No.			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	
2	5	5	5	. 5	5	5	5	
3	5	4	5	4	5	5	5 —	
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	
6								
7						34		110
8								
9								
10								
Total	25	22	25	23	25	25	25	
Avg	5	4.4	5	4.6	5	5	5	34
	nark	4.85714				Excellent		

Remark Criteria

Excellent

4.5 &above

Very Good

3.5 to 4.49

Good

2.5 to 3.49

Average

1.5 to 2.49

Below Average

0 to 1.49

Signature of Q.C.C. Member

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : Mrs.	Pranita Bagad	1 2
Subject Taught: Business	Environment	3 4
Class: F.Y. B Com	Div:	100

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 26-09-2018

	(Workships	
Signature -	(I)	

Name o	f the student	Khush	bu P. K	anko	riyo
Class _	F.Y.B	com	Roll No	19	9



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Mes. Peanita.	Bagad
Subject Taught: Business Envisor	
Class: FyBcom	Div:

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	100
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	7
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	3
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	7
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

Date: 26/09/18

Signature	Mejoshi
Name of the student Monsi	5 Joshi
class FyBcom	_Roll No

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : Mrs.	Branita Bagad	
Subject Taught : Rusiness	Environment	* -
Class: F.Y.B.COM	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 26-09-18

	Signature	Kulkaro	
Na	me of the student Sncha	l Kulkarn	<u>i</u>
Cla	ss F.Y.B.COM	Roll No2	3

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :	Pranita Bagad
Subject Taught : Business	Environment
Class: F. Y. B. COM	Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 26/09/2018

	Signature	NON	
Name of the studen	Arthi	· 5. Na	ik
Class F. Y. B	. COM.	_Roll No	25



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher : Mrs. Pr	rapita Bagad	
Subject Taught : Business I	Environment	
Class: F.V. Bcom	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 26/09/2018

Signature	7
Name of the student	navi · Fulfagar
Class F.Y. Brom	Roll No1

G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

					0			Olugo .		4.13.	WI.
ject: Comp	yes	App	lica	tion	Ke	per	I	Code:			
Sr. No.	T	-				Questic	n No				_
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	T
1	5	4	4	5-	5	6	5-		-	1.0	+
2	5	S	5	6	5		5-				T
3	3	4	5	5	5-	a	5.				T
4	5	9	Q	5	5	5	4				1
5	5	4	4	5	5	4:	s-				
6											
7											\top
8											T
9											
10				4 2							
Total	25	21	22	24	25	22	24				
Average	5	4.2	4.4	4.8	5	4.4	4.8				
Damade				100		_					_
Remark e of the Teacher	m	rs.1	Exce	ish	Ipp	Joshi	<u> </u>	Class:	F.4	B.co	m
e of the Teacher	m	rs.1	Mon	ish	Ipp	idaoi	3(Class : .	F.4	B·co	m
e of the Teacher	m	rs.1	Mon	ish	Reper	T- 1	(Class : .	F.4	B.co	m
e of the Teacher	m	mpp	Man Lilad	noi	Reper	y -T	n No.	Code : .			
e of the Teacher ect : Compu Sr. No.	ter 1	mpp.	Man Wat	ish ion	a Tape	Question 6	(Class : .	F.4 9	B. ca	
e of the Teacher ect : Compu Sr. No.	ters 1	Dpp	Mon likut	ion 4	Reper Co	Question 6	No. 7	Code : .			
e of the Teacher ect : Compu Sr. No.	ters	13.5 13.5 2 5 4	3 4 4	ion 4 5	S G	Question 6	n No.	Code : .			
e of the Teacher ect : Compus Sr. No. 1 2 3	1 5 5 5	1 S G	Man dilad	ion 4 5 5 5	5 6 4	Question 6	No. 7	Code : .			
e of the Teacher ect : Compu Sr. No. 1 2 3 4	1 5 5 5	1 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Jan Bilad Bilad G ST G	ion 4 5 5 5 5	5 644 9	Question 6	7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Code : .			
e of the Teacher ect : Compu Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5	1 5 5 5	1 S G	Man dilad	ion 4 5 5 5	5 6 4	Question 6	No. 7	Code : .			
e of the Teacher ect : Compu Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5	1 5 5 5	1 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Jan Bilad Bilad G ST G	ion 4 5 5 5 5	5 644 9	Question 6	7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Code : .			
e of the Teacher ect : Compus Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1 5 5 5	1 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Jan Bilad Bilad G ST G	ion 4 5 5 5 5	5 644 9	Question 6	7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Code : .			
e of the Teacher ect : Compu Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5	1 5 5 5	1 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Jan Bilad Bilad G ST G	ion 4 5 5 5 5	5 644 9	Question 6	7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Code : .			
e of the Teacher ect : Compu Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1 5 5 5	1 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	3 4 9 9	ion 4 5 5 5 5	5 644 9	Question 6	7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Code : .			1
e of the Teacher ect : Compa Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1 5 5 5	10 pp	3 4 9 5 4 5 5	ion 4 5 5 5 4	5 64465	Question 6	7 5 5 5 5	Code : .			
e of the Teacher ect : Compu Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1 5 5 5 5	2 5 4 5 5	3 4 9 9	1000 4 5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 4	5 GG	Question 6	7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Code : .			



Total Average Remark

G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

ame of the Teach											,
ubject : V o Co	etiona	Par	POLOT	T-h	OF -	ppla	tion.	Code:			
Sr. No.	7 7		0			Questic	on No.	-			
O 110.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1 1
1	1.5	5	5	5	5	5	5				+
2	5	5	5	5	5	g-	5				1
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5-				_
4	5	5	9	5	4	5	5			_	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			1	\vdash
6							-			1	
7									A.	†	-
8										1	1
9											-
10				. 1							-
Total	25	25	24	25	24	25	25				1
Average			4.8	_	4.8	_	5				-
Average	1 5	5	4.1		4 17						1
Remark	5.	94		xiel			3				
Remark me of the Teach	4. er:Ja	94 45h	(E	xiel te	1 ent)		Class :	1.4	B.(01	m.
Remark me of the Teach	4. er:Ja	94 45h	(E	xiel te	Pape	n ∨1	(Class : .	1.4	B:(01	ັກ.
Remark me of the Teach	er:Ja	age app	(E infa	te te	Pape	∩ V]	((ode:.			
Remark me of the Teache bject :	4. er: Ja	94 App	(E infa likat	te te	lent lape	Question	C	Class : .	1.4	B:(01	کن. 11
Remark me of the Teache bject : Sr. No.	4. er: Ja wes	94 App App	(E)	te fe ion	ape 5	Question 6	No. 7	ode:.			
Remark me of the Teache bject :	er: Ja	94 App 2 4	(E)	te ion	lent Some	Question 6	No. 7 4	ode:.			
Remark me of the Teache bject : Sr. No. 1 2 3	1 4. Wes	94 App 2 4 5	(E)	te on 4 4	ape 5 4	Question 6	No. 7 4 5 5 5	ode:.			
Remark me of the Teache bject :	er: Ja	94 App 2 4 5	(E)	te fe	1 ent	Question 6	No. 7 4 5 5 5 5	ode:.			
Remark me of the Teacher bject : Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5	1 4. Wes	94 App 2 4	(E)	te on 4 4	ape 5 4	Question 6	No. 7 4 5 5 5	ode:.			
Remark me of the Teacher bject :	er: Ja	94 App 2 4 5	(E)	te fe	1 ent	Question 6	No. 7 4 5 5 5 5	ode:.			
Remark me of the Teacher oject : Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	er: Ja	94 App 2 4 5	(E)	te fe	S G G	Question 6	No. 7 4 5 5 5 5	ode:.			
Remark me of the Teacher bject :	er: Ja	94 App 2 4 5	(E)	te fe	S G G	Question 6	No. 7 4 5 5 5 5	ode:.			

Excellent



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: 37 चिवेक चेंदे ४४४		
Subject Taught: कोट्यबाळ्डा (भारतीय	<u>४५।हित्थक्वास्त्र</u>	Dc-X111
Class: <u> </u>		

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	~
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

		5
Date: 24/02/2020		
	Signature :	
	Name of the Student: 212141 21214	भेव
36)	

Class: 2. ala. al. u Roll No.:



Date : 24 -

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	. 1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: of ala mit

ramo or	the reacher. 31 14405 and OPA	
	Taught: काल्यकालन (अल्लीम अहित्यकालन DC-)	(m)
Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	.5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	۲

1-40.40	Signature :
	Name of the Student: 45T Paret west
3	Class: A. a. T. Roll No.: 1



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: 31 fodos 2012 447	
Subject Taught: का स्थारना ् भारतीय ज्याहित्यवारग	<u> </u>
Class:ति वाय, बी,रा Div.:	——————————————————————————————————————

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	

Date: 24/02/2020	0
------------------	---

Signature :	(A. Juney ade
Signature	U+ J

Name of the Student : 💍 🔊	लापू गांग्युरे
Class: A . Ell o Tal A TRoll No :	d



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: 51, ada 202 242

Date: 24-2-2020

	aught: काळाडासमा (आवतीय व्याहित्यशासमा DC XIII.	
Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Name of the Student : 601413

Signature:

Class: 2. 012, 01. 2 Roll No.: 04.



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: 31 995 47 77	
Subject Taught: काल्यशास्त्र (भारतीय साटित्यशास्त्र DC - XIII	
Class: <u>टी गुप. बी. ए.</u> Div.:	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 24 - 02 - 2020	
	Signature:
<i>x</i>	Name of the Student : सावाली सातिश न के की के
	Class: टी. वाप. वी. ए. Roll No.: 18



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

THE STARK INVESTIGATION OF THE START OF THE
Name of the Teacher: 5T. 1996 2013 213
Subject Taught: OZIGET RULLIAN HRIE
Class: Th die of T. Div.:

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

_			
Date:	24	1021	20

O'	TIP
Signature : _	TUD

	0	0		0.	
Name of the Student :	BUTO	To	HE OF	050	bos
			0		9

Class: (14 012) of [Roll No.: 014804



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: 51. 449 20	र सर
Subject Taught : ळावहारीपयोगी	महाठी .
Class: F.Y.B.A.	_Div. :

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	-
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	

Date: 24 2 20.	Signature: Gakshi .
	Name of the Student : हसाही गोर्थ गायप्रवाउ
	Class: F.Y.B.A. Roll No.: 014815.



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	2 1	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of ti	he Teacher: 51. Gild	मु चेर	*
Subject Ta	aught: 219 Elly	धों भी सराही	
Class :	F.Y. BA.	Div. :	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date:	24	12	12020

Signature :	Marisha.	
Oignature .	1002	

Name of the Student : Mahisha	Laxman.	Dhumal.

Class: FY. BA. Roll No .: KILLIS



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 2		1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher	: डी वितेक खारे भर	
Subject 1	Taught :	ळावहारोपयीगी मराही	
Class:_	तिफ.	वाय. की. त Div.:	

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the stud	dent. 5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date :	24/2/2020	Signature : Buc

Name of the Student : _<

Class: 715 @ 12 & Roll No.: 014812

(i)



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K.- Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5

Document - 4 SMRK- BK - AK/QCC / Aca / Stu / 03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of th	ne Teacher:	विवेष व्यरे सर		
Subject Ta	nught: <u>व्यवहारीपय</u>	नित्रम भिर्म		Į la
Class:	F.Y. B.A.	Div. :		

Sr. No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills.	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the subject.	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class.	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading.	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5.

Date: 24-2-20.	Signature :
	Name of the Student: स्पार्की स्रीपत फदम.
	Class: F. Y.B.A. Roll No.: 014825.



G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

ject: $\frac{DC - \times I}{}$	V - Me	thodol	ogy in	Soci	al A	es ear	ch	_ Code	:	=	
Sr. No.						Questi	on No.				-
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1
1	, 5	5	5	5	5	5.	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			1	
3	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	1	y 8 m		
4	5	5	_5	5	5	5	5			7, 17	
5	5	5	5.	.5	5	5	5	1			1 1
6	1.										
7								1	1		
8								_			-
9	3					1		1	e e		
10	1			. 1		1		+	-		-
Total	. 25	25	24	25	25	25	25	1			
Average	5	5	4.8	5	5	5	5	->	34.	80	

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Satish Dhanawade Class: SYBA.

Subject: FC XI - Current Social Issues & Problems Code:

Sr. No.	Question No.										
01. 110.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	4	4	5	5	5				1
2	5	4	5	5	14	15	5				1
. 3	5	5	5	15	5	5	5	-			
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
5	5	5	. 5	5	5	5	5	1 13			
6			T								-
7											
8					T						
9							-	1			_
10			×					1			-
Total	25	24	24	24	24	25	25			977.7	5 (13 ye h
Average	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	5	5 -	- 34	09		
Remark	4.	88 E							-	-	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. 5a+15h	Dhanawade
Subject Taught: methodology	in social Research
Class: T. Y BA	Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	T 5

Date: 26/2/2020

Name of the student Preyak +	la Govind More

Roll No. _

Signature Yoyakta



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	Dhanawade.
Subject Taught: methodology in	Social Research
Class: T J B A	Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	. 5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 20 2 2020

Name of the student karal	Trym	oak Bamar
Class T.J.BA	Roll No	35

Signature .

Bangar

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Scotish	Dhonward	0	
Subject Taught: Methodology	in social	Research	-
Class: TY-BA	Div :		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	. 5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 20 02 2020

	Signature	De	
Name of the	student Truff	i Goop	
Class	Y.BA	Roll No	45

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Scitish	phan wide
Subject Taught: me. thodology	in social Research
Class: TY . BA	. Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	7

Date: 20-2-2020

	X X				
	Name of the	ne student 🚅	sarika	Baly	Nikat
1527	Class	TY,	BA	_Roll No	54

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Satish	Dhanawade
Subject Taught: Methodology in	Social Research
Class: TY B P	_ Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
. 1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 20-20-2020

Name of the student _	Suvarn	Hari	Shikaze
Class Ty Bi	9	_Roll No.	62

Signature -

5. H. Shikar



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the	Teacher :	Dr. Satis) han a wade		
Subject Taug	ht: Curre	ent Soci	irt I	issues and	Problems	
Class:	5.4. B.A		- 1	Div :		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	. Ч
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	Ч
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date	:			
20/0	21	20	2	C

Signature	Aechana
Name of the student Acchange	Arun Garud.
Class S.Y.B.A.	_Roll No <u>45</u>

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :		or. sat	ish ph	an a wade		
Subject Tau	ight :	current	social	Issues	and	Problems.
Class :	SYBA		Div : _			

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 20 102 12020

	20		O		
Name of t	he student Aish	naine	8. 1	Pagan	2
Class	STBA	Roll	No	12	

Signature .

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Satish Dh	a nawade.
Subject Taught: Cument Social]	ssues and problems.
Class: SygA	_ Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 20 02 2020

	Thowale
Signature	THE P

Nan	ne of the student	Anyshka	Sanjo	y Nau	vale.
Clas	ss Sygn		Roll No	55.	18

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	. 1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :	satish	ohunaw	ude	*
Subject Taught: Gurren	+ social	I Ssues	and	Problems
Class: SY. BA	Div	': 		
Sr.No.	Attributes			Rating

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 20 - 2 - 2020

Signature -	Chmbho	ile
Name of the student Rutu	ju N.	chumbhole
Class Sy BA	Roll No	41

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the	Teacher: 💇	Satish	Dhahau	rade	
Subject Tau	ght: <u>Current</u>	.Sociat	T ssues	and	Problems
Class:	54 - BA		Div :		193

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 20-2 - 2020

	Signature —	- Constant	
061			
Name of	the student Harshada	Barka	Book
Class	SY BA	_Roll No	58



G. E. Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 8

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Staff/02

ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts / Fine Arts / Commerce / Home Science)

2 3 5 5 5 5 4 5	5 4 5	5 5 5	Question 6	7 5 5	8	9	10	1
5 5 5 5 4 5	5 4 5	5	5	5	8	9	10	1
5 5	4	5	5					
4 5	5	-		5			_	
	-	5	5			1	2 8	
5 5	-)	5				
	5	5	5	5			15. = 1	
5	5	5	5	5			- 7	
19							1	
0								+
			100					
	. 1							
4 25	24	25	25	25	7.1			100
8 5	4.8	5	5	5	->	240	60	
	8 5	8 5 4.8	4 25 24 25	4 25 24 25 25 8 5 4.8 5 5	4 25 24 25 25 25 8 5 4.8 5 5 5	4 25 24 25 25 25 6 5 4.8 5 5 5 \Rightarrow	4 25 24 25 25 25 8 5 4.8 5 5 5 \rightarrow 34	4 25 24 25 25 25 6 5 4.8 5 5 5 \Rightarrow 34.60

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Satish Dhanawade	_ Class : TYBA	
Subject: DC XI - Social Movements in India	Code :	

Sr. No.		1				Questi	on No.			- 6	
01. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1 11
1	5	5	5	5	15	5	5			(1)	
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
. 3	5.	5	5	5	5	5	5	-		1 1	
4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5				
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
6											1
7	Tr.										
8	1								-1 - 1		
9								-			
10			4								
Total	25	25	25	25	24	25	25			8-73	19000
Average	5	5	5	5	4-8	5	5 -	> 2	4.8	1	1
Remark	4.5	77 -	-Fxc	ellen	+ .						1



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :	Satish Dhanau	ade
Subject Taught: Social	movements in	India
Class: BA	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 20-2-2020

Name of the student	amul	Trymbak	Bangas
Class T. Y.BA	,	Roll No	35

Signature K. T.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :	Satish Phana	wade
Subject Taught: 500	movements	in India
Class: T.y BA	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 2012 /2020

Name of the student	Projakta	Govind	03
-		/ /	

Signature Yolak-A

Date:

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

8	Ty. BA Div:	India
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	L
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	L
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	t
6	Giving references for further reading	-
7	Overall impression of the teacher	

	Signature	Onik	48
5 8	Name of the student Sax Ka	Balu	Niter
#	Class Ty of	Dell No.	e y



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Satish Dhanube.

Subject Taught: Social Movements in India

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	.5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 20/02/2020

Name of	f the student Tout	ti Glore	
Class	TY BA	Roll No. 45	3

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	. 1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Satish Dhanawade

Subject Taught: Social Movemears in India

TY-BH Div:	23
Attributes	Rating
Teaching Skills	5
Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
	Teaching Skills Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject Availability of the teachers in & out of the class Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.

Date:		-			2 15	2	_
Date .	•	77	-	-	10	1	Ω.

Giving references for further reading

Overall impression of the teacher.

Name of the student	Suvama Hari shikar
Class	Roll No. 62

Signature S.H.Shikare



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Tead	ther: 0 = Sati	sh Dhanawade	
Subject Taught :	Sociology	of Tourism	
Class:	74.8A	Div :	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date :			
20 - 2 -	2020		S. H. Stikare
		Signature —	5.77

Name of the student _	Suvarna	Hari	Shikare
Class 74. R	A	Roll No.	62



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Satish Dhancelle

Subject Taught: Sociology of Townson

Overall impression of the teacher.

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5

Date:	20	02/2020	,
-------	----	---------	---

	Signature	42		-
	Name of the student Tourti	Gose	\$) #C	_
٠	Class TY.BA	_Roll No	45	

76



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dy Satish	phanawade
Subject Taught: Sociology of	Tourism
Class: TY. BA	Div:

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	-
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	L
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	-
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	~
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	
6	Giving references for further reading	6
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	

Date: 20-2-2020

Name of	the student 50	1xiKa	Bally	Nikue
Class _	TY . B	A	Roll No	54

Signature Onikus



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Schish	Phonowade
Subject Taught: Sociology of	Tourism
Class: T. Y B A	Div:

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	6

Date: 20 /2 /2020

Signature 40 at 10	_
Name of the student Project to Goving	More

Class Ty BA Roll No. 5)

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Satist	Dhapawade
Subject Taught: Sociology of	- tourism
Class: T. J. B.A.	Div :

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5.
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 20 2 12020

	Signature KT. Bungar
	Name of the student Karrel Trymbak Bungar
9	Class T- 1 B A Roll No. 35





S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Ashlisha Kulkarni Class: F.Y. Com

Subject: Business Economics Code: 245205

Sr. No.					Q	uestion	No.				
SI. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	13
1	5	4	5	4	4	4	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
3	5	5	5	5	5	15	5				
4	5	5	5	3	3	5	5				
5	5	5	4	1 4	4	5	5				
6 :		4									
7				L AU UV							
8								1 11 - 1			1
9		453									
10	f Land			и и	100	1	-	17 10		1.	
Total	25	24	24	2)	23	24	25	1 3			
Average	5	4.8	4.8	4.2	4.6	4.8	5	=41	44	L.,	
Remark	Ex	celle	nt								

Name of the Teacher: & S. Odeh Odhekou Class: F. Y. com.

Subject: Computer Application III Code: 205318

5 001-17		1 1	uce	11 1	-						10000
Sr. No.					Q	uestion					
Sr. No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	5	4	5	5	3	5				
2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1.1.	110			1
3	4	3	5	5	नि	3	4				
4	4,	5	5	5	4	5	5		1		
5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5				
6											
7					1						
8											
9						T party					
10		- u 4	1								
Total	18	18	20	21	18	14	20				
Average	3.6	3.6	4	4.2	3,6	3,4	4 -	+ 3.7=	-	- 1	
Remark	Ne	M OR	rod		1		17 17				



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Dr. N.B. Songielcar Class: F.y. Com Name of the Teacher:

Code: 245206 Subject: Human Resource Mgt

Sr. No.				2	Q	uestion]	No.				
31. 110.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	20	5	5	5	5	5	14				
2	5.	3	5	5	4	5	5				
3	. 3	3	4	4	4	14	4		8		
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5		0		
6		127		1110					1 1		
7		56		1.2	270		0 1				
8	+				2.50		- mark	175	3		1
9			11.5			-	5 P				
10	1 1			1624				1 x			
Total	22	20	24	24	22	24	23				
Average	4.4	4	4.8	4.8	4,4	4.8	4.6	= 4.5			
Remark	-Ex	rolle	n#					-			

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Dhonelgepatil Class: F-4. Con-Subject: Accounting: Advanced Financiage: 245207

Sr. No.			cion		7 (uestion	No.				
31. 190.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	5	5	4	5	4	4				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	. 5				
3	5	4	4	4	14	4	5				
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4				
5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5				
6								1.4			
7					1		0				
8			1	30							
9											
10							10				
Total	24	23	24	22	24	23	23				
Average	4.8	4.6	4.8	414	4.8	4.6	4.6	= 4, 4	15		
Remark	Nei	11 0	rod					=	-		



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Prajakter Salunce Class: F.4. Com.
Subject: Business Statistics. Code: 245208

Sr. No.			19		Q	uestion l	No.				
SI. NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	4	4	4	4	5	5	4				
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	17			
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		1		
5	5	5	5	14	5	5	4		1111	211	
6					15	,				1	
7					14.		18 E S.			100	
8		100					H. Carrol	10 6			1
9	1 65 90		11			1					
10	The second	and A		71 2			5	1 1			
Total	21	21	21	20	22	22	20				
Average	4:2	4,2	4,2	4	4.4	414	4	= 4.1	2		
Remark	Ner	y our	nd			10		17	138		

Name of the Teacher: Archana Salunte Class: F. 4. com.

Subject: EUS Code: 245209

	03			_				245			
Sr. No.					Q	uestion	No.				_
211 2 101	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	41	4	4	4	5	3	4				
. 2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1,225			1
3	4.	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3				
5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5		7		
6	38 60 1				1		19 - 5		N ¹		
7					(a						
8			12.2								
9	1 11					-					
10			-		GLG D		1,67				
Total	21	22	2)	22	23	2)	22	-13			
Average	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4		412	4.4	=4.0	8	4.	
Remark	Mos		rod	1.77			111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1.5			



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher : M. Gitey

Class: F.4. com.

Code: 210201.

Subject: English ()

- Cregati	74) (day				0	uestion l			-		-
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5:	5.	5	5	5.	5	5.				
2	4.	5	5	5	5	15	4	*			
3	4.	5	4	4	4.	14	-				
4	4.	4	4	20	4	5	5				
5	4	5	5	3	4	4	5	6° 3			
6					1231	ļ ,					
7				1			*				
i				1							1
9											
10						, ,,					
Total	21	24	23	21	22	23	24				
Average	4.2	4.8	4.6	4.2	4.4	4,6	4,8	= 4	51		
Remark	Exc	ellen	t							<u> </u>	

Name of the Teacher: No - Gitty

Class: F.4. Com.

Subject: English (AL)

Code : 220201

Sr No					Qı	uestion l	No.				
7	1	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5.	4	· 4.	3	5'	5.	4.				
2 `	5	5.	5.	2,	5	.5.	-2				
3	5	Lp.	3	3	3.	4	14				
4	\$	5	4	5	5.	4	4				
5	15	4	4	5	4	5.	4				
6											
7						(V.			
8											
9											
10		243									
Total	24	22	20	21	22	23	21				
Average	4.8	4,4	4	4.2		4.6	4.2	= 4	37		
Remark	Ver	u go	nd.								



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: M. Gitey

Class: 5.4. com.

Subject: English (HL)

Code:

Sr. No.					Q	uestion	No.				
SI. NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5.	5	5	5	5				
2	1	3	4	3	4	3	4				
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5				
5	5	5	14	5	4	5	5				
6			120	90.5							10.74
7			1 5		Thu,	1 6 1	1 1 5			- 1	11.00
8		0.00	4.5			11	19.	18.4.1	7		1
9		100	1			i i	14-			a n v	21
10	HILLAN			-11-5	10.5						
Total	21	23	23	23	23	23	24				
Average	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4-3	4.8	=415	2		
Remark	Pro	elles									

Name of the Teacher: W. Gitey

Class: S.4. Com

Subject: English (LL)

Code:

Sr. No.					Q	uestion	No.				
31. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	A. T.			
2	4	4	14	1	4	5	. 5	177		1	
3	3	3	LA	4	3	5	5	N			
4	3	3	2	20	3	5	5				
5	5	5	5	4	120	5	15				
6							. F				
7							100				
8			F 15	19.0							
9											
10		1 1							9		
Total	20	20	23	21	19	25	25		[1]		
Average	4	.4	4.6	4.2	3.8	5	5	=4.3	7		
Remark	Nes	11 (rood				120 10		- HJ H		



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: S. R. Odhellar Class: 5.4-Com.

Subject: Advanced Accounting Paper & Code: 4055/8

3 4 5 6 7 8			The same of	1	, d	uestion	No.				2,10
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	4	5	4	5	4				
2	4	4.	5	3	3	3	3	- 1			
3	5	. 5	5	5	5	5	5		3,50		
4	5	4	5	4	. 4	5	5				
5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5				
6		18/4	1 18 7								
7		V 10		S 20 1 2			1 1 1	n			
8			N TO I	1	12.	14 1.	100	3-1-5			1
9	4.5		2					1 3			
10	· I store			12.8		- 3	x 155				
Total	24	23	24	22	20	23	22	F2 11			
Average	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.4	4	4.6	4.4	= 4.5	1		
Remark		celle		1							

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Mondgepall

Class: S. Y. com.

Subject: Accounting Advand I

Code: 445407

Sr. No.				1	Ç	uestion)	No.				
51.140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	G	5	5	5	5				
2	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	d'a a			
3	5	4	5	4	15	4	4				
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		portence as		
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			1	
6	Test				1					1 00	
7		1 7 .		=3	100						
8											
9											
10							la.				
Total	25	23	25	23	25	24	24	100		2.	
Average	5	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.8	4.8	= 4.83	2	148	
Remark	- E	v (pl)	ent				144				



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Adv. Kuran Kshatriya, Class: 5-4. Com.

Subject: Business Laus

Code: 445410

Sr. No.		1,000			Q	uestion l	No.				
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	G	5	.5				
2	5	4	5	4.	5	5	5	1.12			
3	5	5	5		5	5.	5.				
4	3	4	3	4	3	4	14				
5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4		11	12 .X	
6		2 e.l					-				
7		1 102		lin f	11.5	V					
8	The ta			1				1 1 1			
9											
10	1 60		12 1/27	1 1 1	1	**	0.5	TE .			
Total	22	23	22	23	22	23	23				
Average	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6	=415	1		
Remark		rcell	int			Sal			= 10	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	

Name of the Teacher: Dr. N. B. Songirkal

Class: 3.4. com.

Subject: Bantiere & Insurance

Code: 44540 6

Sr. No.				740	Q	uestion?	No.				
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.	1			
2	5	4	5	5	5	5	S	THE S			
3	5.	5.	5	5	5	5	5				
4	4	4	5	4	5	4	Lp				
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		4		
6							1				
7				15	C.S.C.						
8			-	34		1					
9											
10											
Total	24	23	25	24	25	24	24				
Average	4.8	4.6	5	4.8	5	4.8	4.8	= 300	4.8	10.1	
Remark		celle	mt		o de					1.1	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

De. Ashletha Kulkenni Class: S. Y. com. Name of the Teacher:

Subject: Businers Economics II.

Code: 445405

Sr. No.					Q	uestion N	Vo.				1.0
SI. INO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	20	5	5	5	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	7	2				
3	5	. 5	5	5	5	7	2	1 1			
4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5		×		
5	5	Lp	2	5	5	5	5			21 "	
6			4,5								
7										1997	
8		1. 1. 18. 0.		1 12 1				30 År 5	12 - 1	-	
9		100					1.7				
10	200			g N sta		7.					
Total	25	24	2)	24	25	25	25				
Average	15	4.8	4.2	4.8	5	5	5	= 4.8	2.		
Remark		celle						7		1	

Name of the Teacher: Ds. N. B. Songorkon Class: S. 4. com.
Subject: Advertising (Paper II) Code: 475244

Subject: Advertising (Paper II)

2 11	T		CHEMIT CO.	-	O	uestion	No.				
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	S	5	5	S	5				
2	7	4	5	5	5	2	2.				
3	5	S	5	2	.5	5	5				
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
5	5	3	3	4	4	4	3				
6						- Y					
7					×						0
8											
9									12		l. Pi
10					1-11		15		11_		97
Total	25	22	23	24	24	24	23	100			
Average	5	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	= 4.6			
Remark	Ex	relle	mt	- 0			1	-		11	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Pring Vaduere Class: T-4. com

Sr. No.		1 4			Q	uestion l	No.				
SI. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	4	5	5	5	4	4	5				
2	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	L, 6-	W. Jak		
3	4	5	3	4	4	4	3		90		
4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4				
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1 K 8 1			
6				1 7 7							
7			7 10	100			The Res	h		1	
8	T. ma	na Y		11 12 1	V4		7	1-8	11.571		1
9	1 ×	1.0	1.2	J. 19							v
10	Lagar	10.3		h Vi b		24		1			
Total	23	23	23	24	22	21	22	127			
Average	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.2	4.4	=41	51	L	
Remark		rollo						-			

Name of the Teacher: Ds. Ashlesha Kulkarri Class: T. Y. Com.

Subject: Issues in Indian Economy Code: 645605

Sr. No.				10.	Q	uestion	No.				
51. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	3	9	Z				
2	5	5	5	15	5	5	5				
3	4	4	4	LA	1-4	4	4				
4	5	2	4	4	5	4	2				
5	5	4	5	5	5	4	14				
6											
7											
8			1	100							
9											
10							-				-
Total	24	23	23	23	24	22	23				
Average	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.6	=4.6			
Remark		cell	ent				100	1 3	F	111	



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Manisha Joshi Class: T. Y. Com

Subject: Computer Application XI Code: 601154

Sr. No.		*//			Q	uestion	No.				
31. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	4				
2	2	5	5	4	4	3	4				
3	5	4	3	4	5	5	4.		T.		
4	5	5	4	4	5	9	5	1.1			
5	4	LP	5	5	5	4	4	F		2 %	
6			147								
7				east 1	1		1.0	2.031000		100 1	
8	1000					1.0	101	p. 2 5			Ť
9						1 1 1 1					
10	1 100			A 1/1 5			0.00			1,	
Total	24	23	22	22	24	22	21				
Average	4.8	4.6	4:4	4.4	4.8	4.4	4.2	=415			
Remark	lex	cell	ant	/					1.18		

Name of the Teacher: Us. Perga Vaduere Class: 7.4. Com.

Subject: Computer Application 1x Code: 605918

Sr. No.		,		¥.	Q	uestion	No.				
31. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	13
1	5	4	4	3	9	5	4		edin e ge		
2	S	5	5	5	5	5	5	, it was			
3	5	4	4	2	4	5	5	100			
4	5	5	4	3	5	4	5				
5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5		9		
6	1 4 1										_
7											_
8			18	1 2 1							
9									1		
10					10.						
Total	24	23	23	21	24	24	24	1500	15.		
Average	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.8	4.8	4.8	= 4.6	5	1	
Remark	& M	delle	nt	,	-			-			



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Ashleshakulkaeni Class: T. Y. com.

Subject: Commerce financial Markets Code: 645606.

Sr. No.	Question No.										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	2	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	5	5	C	5	5	5	5			Julian Control	
3	4	4	4.	4	4	4	4				
4	5	5	20	4	3	5	24				
5	5	4	4	3	5	5	U				
6			120								
7				102 %			100 10				
8	Carrier a					1 500	17 7	14.7		1. 14	ť
9			:			5	8				
10	100			14 4							
Total	24	23	22	21	22	24	22				
Average	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.8	14.4	= 4,	51		
Remark		rella	nt		2002						

Name of the Teacher: Ds. Dhondgepatil Class: T. Y. Com

Subject: Financial Accounting & Audding VT 655415

Sr. No.		Question No.										
	1	2	3	4	5.	6	7	8	9	10	11	
1	5	5	S	S	5	5	S.					
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	.5	15 100			-	
3	5	4	4	4	4	4	4					
4	4	4	4	20	Z	4	5		1.			
5	5	4	4	3	5	5	4		4			
6												
7												
8				100				111			_	
9												
10		- 2										
Total	24	22	22	21	24	23	23			100	_	
Average	4.8	414	4.4	4.2	4.8	4.6	4.6	= 4.5	+	1		
Remark	Sou (elles	ht.		8 4 1 4					1		



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: My. Gitey T.y. com. Class: English 620601 Subject: Code: Question No. Sr. No. 10 11 3 5 6 2 1 5 5 5 5 5 2 5 4 4 5 3 24 5 4 4 4 4. 4 4 4 5 4 4 6 9 10 24 24 Total 23 22 =4.57 4.6 4.4 4.8 4.8 Average 4.6

Name of the Teacher: Ma-

- Gitey

Class: J.y. com.

Subject: &


Remark

English (141)

Code:

Sr. No.	9			V	Q	uestion	No.			100	
31. 140.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	4	4	3	5.	5	4	-			
2	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	e pel run			
3	5	4.	3.	. 3	3	4	4				
4	14	2	4	5	5	4	14				
5	14	4	4	5	14	5	14				
6											_
7					ē						
8		-1	5	, a			1 1 1				
9											
10											
Total	23	22	20	21	22	23	21	The second			
Average	4.6	4.4	4	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.2	=41	34	1	
Remark	Vere		ed				14				

Sign. of the Member / Incharge Q.C.C.





S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Nikhila Bhagwat

Class: FYB.SC

Subject: Exention & Communication Code:

G. M.					Qu	estion l	No.	52			
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	_S_				
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	ی				
4	5	5	5	5	5	5					
5	5	3	5	5	5	5	3				
6											
7											<u>_</u>
3				01	L	; 	2011-0-11-0				
9						L					
10						",					
Teol	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	_2_	32	-S.	
Average	S	(S)	7	5	2	5	ا ک		17	<u>_</u>	_
Pemark	5	EE	rcei	len	1		<u> </u>			L	<u> </u>

Name of the Teacher: Mrs. Radhika Ugaohkarciass: F4B.S.C. Subject: Fundamentas of food science & Code:

C. Ma					Qı	estion l	Vo.				
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1
1	3	4	5	4	4	3	4.				
2	5	5	3	4	4	3	4				
3	5	Ct	4	3	ڪ	2	5				
4	5	4	4	3	5	2					
5	5	2	5	4	5	5	2				
6											
7											
8	W- WV				5						
9											
10		n			11384045555571555						_
Total	23	22	21	18	23	21	23		30.	2	
Average	4.6	4.4	4.2	3.6	4.6	4.2	4.6		#	<u> </u>	
Remark	4.31		V. C	000						1	

Sign. of the Member / Incharge Q.C.C. 26 |03| 22

Dr. Basish Dhanawa

5

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

2

Name of the student Ashwini S. kadem

RSC__Roll No.

	the Teacher: Nikhila Bhagwat aught: Extention & communicat	ich
ass : _	F. Y BSC [Homesci] Div:	
r.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Mrs. Nikhila Bhagvat

Overall impression of the teacher.

	Fy-BHSC Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5

Date: 18-03-2022

	Signature ——	hour.	
Name of the student	Karishma	Mohan	Dalvi.
Class Fy BSC()	Home Science	Roll No	16

5



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 -	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Nikhila Bhagwat Mam	
Subject Taught: Extension & Communication	
Class: FY BSc home sci. Div:	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 5 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	- 5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 18|3|22.

Signature

Signature

Name of the student Shrikirti B. Aher

Class FY BHSC. Roll No.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of t	he Teach	ner: Mrs.	Nikhila	Bhagwat.		
Subject T	aught:_	Extention	and	Communica	tion.	
Class :	First	Near		Div:	FY.BHSC	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5 .
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	- 5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date :					
				175	
38			Signature -	Mount	3 F x F

			F.,	4. 1. 4.		
Name o	f the student	Pranit	ra Prabhako	ar Ma	den +	chane
Class	EVRUEN	11 2	Roll No	36	,	
Class _	1.101126					

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Birot Jea	Detine
Class: FY BSC (Home Science) Div: F.Y. HSC birst year	
Subject Taught: Extension of Communication	
Name of the Teacher: Mrs. Nikhila Bhagwat	
b = wall of no -	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	72
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	7
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 18 | 03 | 2022

	Signature .	and the second	1 000
Name of	f the student Pagar	Vamila Pund	ik
Class _	F-V-BSC	Roll No. 41	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Food Science & Nutritices

Mrs. Radhiker

class: FYBSc. Div:A					
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating			
1	Teaching Skills	3			
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4			
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5			
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4			
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4			
6	Giving references for further reading	3			
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	14			

Date:

Signature

Name of the student

Class FYBSc Roll No. 72

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 -	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher: Radhika Ugasnkay	
	FyBsc [Home Science] Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3		

Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo,

Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.

Giving references for further reading

Overall impression of the teacher.

Date: 16/3/22

5

6

project etc.

	Signature
	Name of the student Bhakti . D. Bhusa.
8	Class F- 1 BSC [Home Roll No. 11 Science]

Name of the Teacher: Radhika mam

Gokhale Education Society's

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1 Below Average	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average		

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

	FY BSC HSC Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	Execellent
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	very good
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	very good
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	G100 d
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	Execellent
6	Giving references for further reading	Excellent
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	Execellen t

Date:

Signature	M. Court		
Name of the student Ganad	Nikita		
Class FY BS C HS C	Roll No	74	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Radhika Mam

Attributes	Rating
Teaching Skills	Excellent
Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	very Good
Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	v'ery Good
Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	Good
Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	Excellent
Giving references for further reading	Excellent
Overall impression of the teacher.	Execulent
Signature Asia	iv fe
	Teaching Skills Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject Availability of the teachers in & out of the class Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc. Suggestions for performance improvement of the student. Giving references for further reading

Class _ FYBSCHSC

Roll No. _

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	3 2		
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average	

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Radhika Ugaonkar.	-
Subject Taught: Radbika FFSN.	_
Class: FY BSC (Home Science) Div:	_

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 16/03/2022.

Signature —	skothe_
Name of the student Shweta	D. Kathe
Class <u>FY.BSC</u> (H.Science.)	_Roll No35



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts, B.K. Commerce and

A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5. Document No. 8

SMRK - BK - AK / QCC / ACA / Staff / 02 ANALYSIS SHEET - FEEDBACK OF TEACHERS

(Arts/Fine Arts/Commerce/Home Science)

Name of the Teacher: Mrs. Sangita kambale Class: 54BSC (HD)

Subject: working with Parents (Th.) Code: 0445

C- No	Sr. No. Ques					estion N	lo.				
Sr. 10.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	5	4	5	4	3	4	5				
2	4	4	5	4	.3	4	5				
3	5	4	5	4	5	5.	5				
4	5	5	4	5	21	5	5				_
5	5	4.	4	5	4	5	4				<u> </u>
6	. j										-
7											<u>-</u> -
3				1							-
9					11						_
10						Α.					<u> </u>
Tool	24	21	23.	22	19	23	24			ļ	
Average	4.8	4.2	4.6	4.4	3.8	4.6	4.8				_
Pemark	4.43	FCV	e 74	Good	()					<u> </u>	

Name of the Teacher:

Class:

Subject:

Code:

C- N-					Qı	nestion 1	No.				
Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1 .						i i					
2							4.0				
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
Total											_
Average								11	-		_
Remark							1000			1	

Sign. of the Member / Incharge Q.C.C.

Dr. Sansh Dhanawad



S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

class :_	SYBSC Div: Sem-	TV
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	3
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
ate:	Signature	Bound

Class S. V. BHSC

Roll No.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	· 1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher :	Mrs. So	angita	kambale.	
Subject Taught :	working	Him	Parents	(Tb.) (HD)
Class: 546	330	Div :	sem.	- TV

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	3
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date:			
		Signature	, ,
8	9	(40)	
	*	Name of the student Rashni Singh	-
		Class SV BSC Roll No	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

	aught: working with Par		
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating	
1	Teaching Skills	5	
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4	
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5	
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.		
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5	
6	Giving references for further reading	5	
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	6	
ate:		and Sales Lake	

Sybhac

Roll No.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Teacher : _	MTS. SC	ingita	kombale	
Subject Taught :	Working	with	Parents	(Th.) (HD)
Class: SYBS	30	Div:	sem-IV	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date :	W		- Mar. 18	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Date .				0
			Signature	gayati
	N 9			8 2 ₂
		18 T	Name of the student	tri Gunjikar
	8 ₂₀		Class SYBSC	Roll No

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of the Tea	cher: Mrs.	Sangita	kamba	e e
Subject Taught :	Working	with	Parents	(th.) (H.D)
Class:	SYBSC		sem	- IV

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4

7	Overall impression of the	e teacher.	4
Date :			*
		Signature —	P
		Name of the student Poola	shankpal
		Class SY BSC	Roll No

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the	e Teacl	ner:_	Adhunik Hindi Kavita T ne year Div: A			
Subject Tai	ught:_	A	thunic.	tindi.	Karita	_
Class:	m	A	I nd	Year Div: .	A	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	. 5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23 03 2023

Name of	f the student	Song	eada	0	Jag	tag
Class _	MA		Roll	No	03	
		Hindi				

Signature

feingli

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of t	he Teacher :	ota Yada	30	-
Subject Ta	aught: Adhunik	Hindi	Kavita	
Class:	TE A.M	D	iv:A	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23/03/2023

			Sig	nature	Delul	San.	
	Name o	f the stude	ent	Dipti	Chaul	ein	
9				hlindi			

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of t	he Teacher :	Che	eeda	Yaday		y (%)	
Subject Ta	aught: Ad	huni	R	Mindi	Kav	ita.	
Class :	m.A	I	Hino	di Div		-	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23/03/23

		Sig	gnature — R	Rupali		
	Name o	of the student _	Rupuli	Mishra		
12	Class _	M.AI	hindi Re	oll No05		

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the	ne Teacher :		जिन्न <u>क</u>	Yadov		19.00	
Subject Ta	nught:	Adl	Ami K	Frinds.	Kavita		3 2 30
				Div:			
Sr.No.			At	tributes		T	Rating

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5.
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23/03/23

S	ignature	100/9			
Name of the student _	pooja	Ras	bhar	,	
Class M.A II	hind;	_Roll No	06		

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teache	er :	Gerden	Yadav		*
Subject	Taught:	Adk	lunik	Hirdi.	Karita	
Class : _	M.A	M	Minds	Div : _		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	7
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23 103123

	Signature	labse	Sum
Name of the	e student <u>Tab</u>	ssum F	Insari
Class	MATT 2	indi Roll No	01

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Name of t	he Teach	ner: Dr. abit of 7	padav		-	
Subject Ta	aught:_	Vigyapah	lekhal			
Class:	m	A Itindl	Div:	A		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	. 5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23 03 2023	
	Signature Jewy
	Name of the student Sangeoty D Jag for
	Class MA Hindb Roll No. 03

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of	the Teacher	:	Greater M			
Subject T	aught:	vigy	apan	Lekh	พก	*
Class :	m.A	TI C	Cilonia	Div :		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23 103 123

Si	gnature Tolowan
Name of the student _	Dîpti Mandein
Class M, A T	(Hindi) Roll No. 02)

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher:	Dr. Gest	a radew		
Subject Taught :	Vigyapan	lekhern		
Class: M. A	M	Div :	-	

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	2
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	-
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5.
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

Date: 23103123

Signature Rupali

Name of the student Rupali Mishra

Class MA M (Hindi) Roll No. 05

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/ 2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher :	Dr	Gester	Fadeu		
Subject Taught :	Vigo	Japan	Lekhe	An	
Class: M. A	T	3	_ Div :		

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	2
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5

23103123

	Signature	P003	4
Name of	f the student	oga Rus	Bhar
Class _		Roll No	06
	(Tip	idi)	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

	the Teacher: Dr. Qi'ver roudour Taught: Vigyer pan Lekhan Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	-
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	9.
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date :		
	Signature	1250m
	Name of the student	n Anson
	Class M.A IT (Hind Proll	No. 61

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

	the Teacher: Dr. Avivay Tayade	
Subject 7	aught: Study of Vanlous songs	
Class :_	MAI Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	

Date:

6

Giving references for further reading

Overall impression of the teacher.

	Sig	gnature _	Sunda	
Name of	the student _	2-118	नीना ड	श्वाकर
Class	MA	T	Roll No	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

	the Teacher: Dr. Avitay Tayade Taught: Study of Venous Forms	
Class : _	MAI Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5.
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	-

Date.	
LIBITE	10.

Signature	- Kon
Name of the student	itu सींग
Class MAT	Roll No

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

	the Teacher: Dr. HVI kay a Jarde Caught: Study of Varnous Forms MAI Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	4.
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	3
6	Giving references for further reading	5 1,
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date :	Signature	
	Name of the student _ 05 3131	Var
	Class MA T Roll I	No.

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

	the Teacher: Dr. Aviray Tayoele Taught: Study of Mandous Form Div:	S
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	- 4
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5 .
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
ate:	Signature S	318/13/2

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

ubject 1	Faught: Study of Various for	y
lass:_	1 v 1 ft Div :	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5.
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	3
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	4 :
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4
Date :	Signature	
	Name of the student Ollow	2 Rain

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the figure 1/2/3/4 & 5 as the case may be

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Avira

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	21.
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	4
Date :	Signature	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

Excellent Very Good Good Average Below Average	5	4	3 .	2	1
Execution 101) Cook C	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1 Tea	ching Skills	5
2 Inte	rest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3 Ava	lability of the teachers in & out of the class	8.
	ching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, ect etc.	5.
5 Sug	gestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6 Giv	ng references for further reading	4
7 Ove	rall impression of the teacher.	5

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3 .	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

	the Teacher: Dr. Avirag Tagarde Taught: Rayan & VIVa Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	5
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	5
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	5
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	5
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	2
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
Date:	Signature	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce - A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

	the Teacher: Dr. Avikay Tayade Taught: Raya Gayan & Viva MAI Div:	
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating
1	Teaching Skills	.4.
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class	4
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	4
6	Giving references for further reading	5
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5
ate:	Signature S	

S.M.R.K. Arts, Fine Arts - B.K. Commerce -A.K. Home Science Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Nashik - 5.

Document - 4

SMRK - BK - AK/QCC/Aca/Stu/03

APPRAISAL OF TEACHERS - BY STUDENT

Students are requested to express objectively their evaluation of teachers, based on 5 points scale.

5	4	3	2	1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Below Average

Students are requested to write within the brackets alongside each serial number, only the fig

name or Subject 1 Class : _	the Teacher: Dr. Aviray Tayade Tayade		
Sr.No.	Attributes	Rating	
1	Teaching Skills	4	
2	Interest generated by the teacher in the Subject	4	
3	Availability of the teachers in & out of the class		
4	Teaching methods used by the teachers like guest lecture, demo, project etc.	4	
5	Suggestions for performance improvement of the student.	5	
6	Giving references for further reading	5 "	
7	Overall impression of the teacher.	5	
Date:	Signature Signature Name of the student	ZIR 4112	